## Kazia Nowacki

From: ACUS Information <info@acus.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 10:21 AM

To:

**Subject:** FW: Small Claims Patent Court Comments

**Attachments:** ACUS Comments.docx

From: Tracey L. Kennedy

Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 11:07 PM
To: ACUS Information <info@acus.gov>
Subject: Small Claims Patent Court Comments

Hello,

I have an attachment below.

Tracey L. Kennedy

From: Tracey L. Kennedy
To: ACUS Information

Cc: US Inventor

Subject: Small Claims Patent Court Comments

My name is Tracey K. I am a nurse entrepreneur, and inventor with a patent with the USPTO for the redesigned patient gown. I appreciate the opportunity to comment on a matter that is very important to me and close to my heart. I was born and raised in a small town in southern Ohio. I grew up as a child as my family faced challenging times. I have a younger sister and we learned to appreciate everything as we were not as privileged as others. I learned to have a great respect for nature and for human society. I have learned to use my life experience to teach others. My uniqueness fills me with an awareness and great wisdom as a disruptive inventor to help others, but I feel women inventors "bleed" as they battle for leadership positions to assist in the rights to overcome the gaps in health care innovation and in a failed patent system. I have attempted to use my skills and talents to impact positive transformational change, as I am the mother of the alternative redesigned patient gown-(CII-Catastrophic Illness & Injury) and the author of the grand nursing theory of Reimagining Patient Care and Outcomes. I feel I have trailblazed new discoveries in the emergence of a new patient gown and a nursing grand theory but find it difficult to defend my rights as an inventor due to large corporate entities abuse of the process. I have used my strength to identify and solve opportunities as the fabric of life to be able to create a better world by design to save the lives of millions of patients but find it challenging to protect myself from large corporate entities harm. It seems there are two different set of rules to follow and a lack of professional boundaries that create a double standard. Therefore, I further support the following:

## Failed Patent System:

eBay v. Merc Exchange Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) Alice v. CLS Bank

## Small Entities Court:

The focus should be Small entities, not small claims It must be an Article III court, not an Administrative Tribunal Motion Practice Limits Injunction is the Default Remedy

There is lack of inventor representation on the consultancy committee. This needs to change.

Next, I would like to contribute to the definition of abstract idea(s)- abstract idea(s) are contemporary art made and produced by artists/inventors living today. Inventors/artists work in and respond to a global environment that is culturally diverse, technologically advancing, and multifaceted. The abstract idea is a summary of one's completed research. Abstract ideas should be self-contained and concise and should explain one's work briefly and as clear as possible. The abstract idea shall have an exclusive right to a product or process that generally provides a new or improved way of doing something; or offers a new technical solution to a problem. An exclusive right to an invention which is the same definition of a patent.

Lastly, seriously consider the unintended consequence of the 2011 America Invents Act and dissolve the PTAB immediately. Thank you for your time and consideration in this important matter.

Warm Regards, Tracey L. Kennedy