

Kazia Nowacki

From: ACUS Information <info@acus.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 10:21 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Comments of Inventor Irl R Sanders III In Response to the Request for Comment Regarding the Administrative Conference of the United States ("ACUS") Small Claims Patent Court Study

From: Irl Sanders [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 9:06 PM
To: ACUS Information <info@acus.gov>
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Comments of Inventor Irl R Sanders III In Response to the Request for Comment Regarding the Administrative Conference of the United States ("ACUS") Small Claims Patent Court Study

Comments of Inventor Irl R Sanders III In Response to the Request for Comment Regarding the Administrative Conference of the United States ("ACUS") Small Claims Patent Court Study

I have on hand hard-on-holds 3 potential inventions that I believe would be very beneficial for humanity. I believe I have been cheated out of fair rewards in the past for inventions I created for companies I worked for. I also allege that I was cheated out of honor and recognition of Inventor designation on thee patents where the CEO put his name on the applications as inventor. The present potential inventions I have if successful will be so valuable that they will surely be stolen through the PTAB. I will remain inactive until I have a fair chance of patenting them. I believe that the PTAB is impossible to reform.

We need to go back to having expert panels at the USPTO adjudicate interference and other disputes. The panel members knew the science, engineering, and the state of the arts; and made in most cases learned judgements. The cost was reasonable, and the answers were usually timely in coming. The PTAB does not seem to know any of these things. The Court of Appeals is also obviously oblivious to science and engineering and state of the arts and are thus a big part of the problems.

Irl R Sanders III
[REDACTED]