

Regulation of Representatives in Agency Proceedings

Ad Hoc Committee on Regulation of Representatives in Agency Proceedings

Proposed Recommendation for Committee | October 29, 2021

1 [Preamble]

RECOMMENDATION

Adoption of Rules of Conduct

- 2 1. For federal agency adjudication systems in which parties are represented—either by
- 3 attorneys or non-attorney representatives—agencies should adopt rules or standards
- 4 governing the conduct of the representatives in adjudicative proceedings.
- The objectives of the rules or standards described in Paragraph 1 should be to maintain
 the fairness, integrity, and efficiency of the adjudicative proceedings.

Content of Rules

7	3.	In drafting rules, agencies should consider whether to adopt or incorporate by reference
8		from rules promulgated by other authorities or instead draft their own rules. Agencies
9		should ensure that all adopted or incorporated rules are applicable to the adjudicative
10		proceedings they conduct and consider whether any modifications should be included.
11		Agencies should carefully scrutinize any rules originally designed to apply to attorneys
12		when applying those same rules to non-attorneys.
13	4.	Topics agencies may wish to consider in their attorney conduct rules include:
14		a. Disruptive conduct;
15		b. Ex parte contacts with agency officials;
16		c. Conflicts of interest;

Commented [GY1]: For Committee discussion: Should this be limited to attorney representatives, given that some of the topics may apply exclusively, or at least primarily, to attorneys?

Commented [GY2]: For Committee discussion: should this topic be included?



2

17		d. Frivolous claims;	
18		e. Compliance with agency rules;	
19		f. Obstruction of justice;	
20		g. Competence;	
21		h. Withdrawal;	
22		i. Delay;	
23		j. Candor toward the tribunal;	
24		k. Improperly influencing the conduct of a judge or official; and	
25		1. Commission of a criminal act; and	
26		m. Attempts to violate conduct rules or knowingly assisting others in violating	
27		conduct rules.	Commented [GY3]: For Committee discussion: should
28	5.	Agencies should, insofar as possible, avoid divergence between rules governing different	this topic be included?
29		types of proceedings that would create needless complexity in practicing before the	
30		agency or other agencies with a similar mission. This may entail harmonizing rules	
31		among different components of the agency. It may also entail harmonizing rules across	
32		agencies, especially in cases in which the same representatives commonly appear before	
33		a group of agencies (e.g., financial agencies).	
		Qualification	
34	6.	Agency rules should address whether only lawyers may represent parties or whether non-	
35		lawyer representatives may also do so.	Commented [GY4]: For Committee discussion: Should the
36	7.	In the case of lawyer representatives, agencies should not require that lawyers possess	recommendation provide factors or considerations (e.g., legal complexity, availability of legal services, etc.)?
37		any qualifications other than those permitted by 5 U.S.C. § 500 (Agency Practice Act),	
38		which allows for representation by a member in good standing of the bar of the highest	
39		court of a state as long as the member files a written declaration with the agency that the	
40		member is in good standing and is authorized to represent the particular person on whose	
41		behalf he or she is acting. Special qualification requirements should be used only if	
42		specifically authorized by statute.	Commented [GY5]: For Committee discussion: Should the
43	8.	Agencies should establish qualification requirements for non-lawyer representatives.	recommendation discuss the substance of what such requirements might entail?

DRAFT October 28, 2021



Discipline

44	9. Rules should address what actions an agency may take in the case of a violation of the					
45	rules.	Commented [GY6]: For Committee discussion: Should the				
46 47	 For rules applicable to attorneys, agency rules should identify any reciprocal disciplinary procedures or referral procedures. 	recommendation provide more detail about or opine on the substance of this paragraph (e.g., laying out the range of disciplinary actions)?				
48	11. The rules should clearly indicate how the disciplinary process works. Among other					
49	topics, they should address:					
50	a. Who can make a complaint and how they can make it;					
51	b. How notice of a complaint should be provided to the representative who is the					
52	subject of the complaint;					
53	c. Who adjudicates the complaint;					
54	d. The procedure for adjudicating the complaint, including any rules governing the					
55	submission of evidence and the making of arguments;					
56	e. The manner in which a decision will be issued, including any applicable timeline					
57	for issuing a decision;					
58	f. Procedures for appealing a decision; and					
59	g. Who is responsible for communicating the decision to other relevant authorities					
60	and enforcing the decision within the agency.					
	Transparency					
61	12. Agencies should publish all significant rules governing representatives' conduct in the	Commented [GY7]: For Committee discussion: Should				
62	<i>Federal Register</i> and codify them in the <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i> .					
63	13. Agencies should publish their rules for representatives' conduct on a single webpage or					
64	in a single document on their websites and clearly label them using a term such as "Rules					
65	of Conduct for Representatives." The agency should clearly indicate whether the rules					
66	apply only to attorneys, non-attorneys, or both.					

- 14. On the webpage described in Paragraph 13, agencies should also publish information 67
- concerning qualifications for representatives (including for non-attorneys as applicable), 68

3

DRAFT October 28, 2021



69	how to file a complaint, and a summary of the disciplinary process.
70	15. On the relevant webpage, agencies should consider providing comments, illustrations,
71	and other explanatory materials to help clarify how the rules work in practice.
72	16. Agencies should consider publishing disciplinary actions, or summaries of them, on their
73	websites so as to promote transparency regarding the types of conduct that lead to
74	disciplinary action. When necessary to preserve recognized privacy interests, the agency
75	may consider redacting information about particular cases or periodically providing
76	summary reports describing the rules violated and the nature of the misconduct.

DRAFT October 28, 2021