

Invention issues and disputes should not be litigated in small claims court

Center Functional medicine [REDACTED]

Mon 08/29/2022 17:27

To: Kazia Nowacki knowacki@acus.gov

Good Day Chairman Andrew Fois:

Congratulation on your recent appointment.

I am writing to you to concur with the comments of my colleagues at USI in their concerns about the protection of small entities against large corporations in patent disputes and issues. I have had the experience in having to pursue my rights in two small claim court cases and both of these were very instructive in both the cost of a local jurisdiction versus a distant proceeding, the vicissitudes of dealing with those with the lack of knowledge in these processes of patents or creative ideas and the vagaries in the experiences of the court magistrates. There is the myth then of what is promulgated as the "jury of our peers Without taking too much of your time I concur with Messrs. Landreaneau and Morinville in their solutions to the problems to protect the minority and small entity with a stronger slingshot to defend the progression of creativity from the ground up from the power of a Goliath.

That so, the idea of creating small entity rules allows meritocracy from the most creative of our citizens to be assured they have a chance in equality.

In fine, an ad hoc committee being formed of such groups as the USI with their considerable experience to advise and strengthen and make flexible the superstructure of the ACUS should be considered as well. This model has been used successfully with the state medical boards to educate and instruct the board members of specific areas they may not be well instructed on from physicians who are heavily exposed to these issues.

Thank you for your attention and consideration to these matters that matter a great deal to the creativity of this nation.

Sincerely

Robert Fortier-Bensen MD