

Kazia Nowacki

From: ACUS Information <info@acus.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2022 10:21 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Small Claims Patent Court Comments Aug 29,2022

From: Li Decker [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2022 10:58 PM
To: ACUS Information <info@acus.gov>
Subject: Small Claims Patent Court Comments Aug 29,2022

Dear ACUS, thank you for this opportunity to formally comment on important topics.

While I haven't been granted a patent for my inventions yet, I have filed several items for patent pending status. I have become reluctant to wade into the patent system again for any of my current ongoing inventions due to the outrageous UNprotective aspects of the 2011 America Invents Act, that totally supports and accomodates large company entities infringement and outright theft of patented ideas vs "small" inventors.

Our country's uniqueness and growth has come from small inventors.

Issued patents need to be inviable; and less skilled, less informed PTAB judges really have no business reversing patents that have been thoroughly researched and vetted by skilled patent examiners! How is it that the PTAB has decided against the patent holder in 84% of cases?!

If a patent is infringed on, and they all know they are infringing!, then they should have to fully disclose show all income and pay ALL income from those infringed-on patent products and all fees and charges for the patent holder to defend their patent to the rightful patent holder-PERIOD!

Why have you allowed large corporations to so massively influence patent laws?! You represent all inventors!

There is an extreme lack of inventor representation on the consultancy committee. How ridiculous is that?!

Other important points:

- The Focus Should be Small Entities, not Small Claims.
- It must be an Article III court, not an Administrative Tribunal
- Motion Practice Limits
- Injunction is the Default Remedy

Make it right! Now!

Thank you,

