

# Public Availability of Settlement Agreements in Agency Enforcement Proceedings

# **Committee on Regulation**

#### Proposed Recommendation for Committee | October 28, 2022

Many statutes grant administrative agencies authority to adjudicate whether persons have violated the law and, for those found to have done so, order them to pay a civil penalty, provide specific relief, or take some other remedial action. Some administrative enforcement proceedings result in a final agency adjudicative decision. But in many, perhaps most, such proceedings, a settlement is reached, either before or after an adjudication is formally initiated.

Settlement can play an important role in administrative enforcement proceedings by allowing parties to resolve disputes more efficiently and effectively. Indeed, both the Administrative Procedure Act and Administrative Dispute Resolution Act (ADRA) recognize the importance of settlements in resolving enforcement proceedings,<sup>3</sup> and the Administrative Conference has similarly recommended that agencies consider using alternative means of dispute resolution.<sup>4</sup>

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Recommendation addresses only settlements reached in administrative enforcement proceedings, not those reached in federal-court cases brought by agencies. For purposes of this Recommendation, "enforcement proceedings" is used broadly to include both investigative and trial-like adjudicative proceedings, whether the parties to the proceeding include the agency or instead only non-agency parties. The Administrative Conference addressed settlement agreements reached in court cases in Recommendation 2020-6, *Agency Litigation Webpages*, 86 Fed. Reg. 6624 (Jan. 22, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Michael Asimow, Greenlighting Administrative Prosecution: Checks and Balances on Charging Decisions 1 (Jan. 21, 2022) (report to the Admin. Conf. of the U.S.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 5 U.S.C. §§ 554(c)(2), 556(c)(6)–(8), 571–584.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2016-4, Evidentiary Hearings Not Required by the Administrative Procedure Act, ¶¶ 8, 12, 81 Fed. Reg. 94,314, 94,315 (Dec. 23, 2016); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 88-5, Agency Use of Settlement Judges, 53 Fed. Reg. 26,030 (July 11, 1988); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 86-8, Acquiring the Services of 'Neutrals' for Alternative Means of Dispute Resolution, 51 Fed. Reg. 46,990 (Dec. 30, 1986); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 86-3, Agencies' Use of Alternative Means of Dispute Resolution, 51 Fed. Reg. 25,643 (July 16, 1986).



# ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Unlike final orders and opinions issued in the adjudication of cases, settlement agreements ordinarily do not definitively resolve disputed factual and legal matters, authoritatively decide whether a violation has taken place, or establish binding precedent. Nevertheless, public access to them can be desirable for several reasons. First, disclosure of settlement agreements can help regulated entities and the general public understand how the agency interprets the laws and regulations it enforces and exercises its enforcement authority. Second, public access to settlement agreements promotes accountable and transparent government. The public has an interest in evaluating how agencies enforce the law and use public funds. Third, high-profile settlements, such as those that involve high dollar amounts or require changes in business practices, often attract significant public interest. Fourth, the terms of a settlement agreement may also affect the interests of third parties, such as consumers, employees, or local communities.<sup>5</sup>

However valuable public access to settlement agreements might be, federal law generally does little to mandate their proactive disclosure. Generally applicable statutes such as the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and ADRA typically require disclosure only when members of the public specifically request the agreements in which they are interested. They do not generally require proactive disclosure on agency websites, as FOIA does for final adjudicative orders and opinions.<sup>6</sup> Nevertheless, many agencies do post settlement agreements on their websites.<sup>7</sup>

There may, of course, be reasons for agencies not to proactively disclose settlement agreements. Settlement agreements, or information contained within them, may be exempted or protected from disclosure. Confidential commercial information, for example, is exempted from disclosure under FOIA.<sup>8</sup> As a policy matter, the promise of confidentiality may encourage

<sup>5</sup> See Elysa Dishman, Public Availability of Settlement Agreements in Agency Enforcement Proceedings (September 30, 2022) (draft report to the Admin. Conf. of the U.S.).

**Commented [A1]:** For Committee discussion: Should the recommendation address or distinguish among differences in settlement agreements (e.g., which agency official approved the settlement agreement, using what criteria, under what authority, etc.)?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Dishman, supra note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).



# ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

candor, help parties to achieve consensus, and yield more efficient resolution of disputes. And as a practical matter, there may be little public interest in large volumes of factually and legally similarly settlement agreements, such that the costs to agencies required to proactively disclose them might outweigh the benefits of proactive disclosure to the public.

This Recommendation encourages agencies to develop policies that recognize the benefits of proactively disclosing settlement agreements in administrative enforcement proceedings and account for countervailing interests, such as confidentiality and efficient dispute resolution. It builds on several other recommendations of the Administrative Conference that encourage agencies to proactively disclose other important materials related to the adjudication of cases, including orders and opinions, supporting records, adjudication rules and policies, and litigation materials. In offering the best practices that follow, the Conference recognizes that settlement agreements vary widely in many respects, including in their terms, their effects on the interests of third parties, and the degree of public interest they attract. It also recognizes that not all agencies can bring the same resources to bear in providing public access to settlement agreements.

#### RECOMMENDATION

1. As part of their overall portfolio of ways tTo inform their-regulated communities entities and the general public about their workadministrative enforcement, agencies should develop policies addressing when to post on their websites settlement agreements reached in administrative enforcement proceedings,—that is, those proceedings in which a civil penalty or other coercive remedy is sought against a person for violating the law.,

Settlement agreements addressed in these policies should include those reached before adjudicative proceedings are formally initiated, should be posted on their websites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Recommendation 2020-6, supra note 1; Recommendation 2020-5, Publication of Policies Governing Agency Adjudicators, 86 Fed. Reg. 6622 (Jan. 22, 2021); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2018-5, Public Availability of Adjudication Rules, 84 Fed. Reg. 2142 (Feb. 6, 2019); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2017-1, Adjudication Materials on Agency Websites, 82 Fed. Reg. 31,039 (July 5, 2017).



# ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

57	Administrative enforcement proceedings are proceedings in which a civil penalty or other
58	coercive remedy is sought against a person for violating the law.
59	2. In determining which settlement agreements to post on its their websites, an agency
60	agencies should consider factors including:
61	a. The extent to which disclosure would help those the agency regulates regulated
62	entities and the general public understand how the agency interprets the laws and
63	regulations it enforces and exercises its enforcement authority-;

- b. The extent to which disclosure would promote accountability and transparency, such as by allowing the <u>public to evaluate evaluation of the agency's</u> administrative enforcement <del>work</del> and uses of public funds;
- c. The extent to which that particular types of settlement agreements is are likely to attract public interest;
- d. The extent to which disclosure might deter other-regulated entities from reaching settlements and resolving disputes expeditiously;
- e. The extent to which disclosure, even after redaction or anonymization, would adversely affect sensitive or legally protected interests involving, among other things, national security, law enforcement, confidential business information, personal privacy, or minors, in a manner unlikely to be cured consistent with paragraph (4) of these recommendations; and
- f. The extent to which disclosure would impose significant administrative costs on the agency or, conversely, whether it would save the agency time or money by reducing the volume of requests for disclosure.
- 3. Agencies that choosing choose generally not to post individual settlement agreements on their websites,—for example because agreements frequently poseare confidentiality concerns or do not vary considerably in terms of their factual contexts or the legal issues they raise,—should consider other means to achieve the goals of disclosure to provide information about settlements summarized in paragraph (2), including by posting on their websites:
  - a. A form or template commonly used for settlement agreements;



# ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

86		b. A representative sample of settlement agreements;
87		c. Settlement agreements that entail <u>especially significant</u> legal issues <del>-warranting</del>
88		<del>disclosure</del> ;
89		d. Settlement agreements that, for other reasons because of their facts, are likely to
90		attract significant public interest;
91		e. A summary of <u>each</u> settlement <u>or settlement</u> trends; and
92		f. A sortable or searchable database that lists information about settlement
93		agreements, such as case types, dates, case numbers, parties, and key terms.
94	4.	When agencies post settlement agreements or information about settlement agreements
95		on their websites, they should redact any information that is sensitive or otherwise
96		protected from disclosure. Agencies should also consider using pseudonyms for private
97		persons in settlement agreements that include sensitive personal information.
98	5.	Agencies should posting settlement agreements on their websites should do so in a timely
99		manner.
100	6.	Agencies should present settlement agreements or information about settlement
101		agreements on their websites in a clear, logical, readily accessible, and comprehensive
102		fashion. In so doing, agencies should consider providing access to a copy of the
103		settlement agreements or information about it-them through:
104		a. Providing access to a copy of the settlement agreement or information about it
105		through aAn enforcement webpage dedicated to agency enforcement activities,
106		that is easily accessed from the agency's homepage, a site map, and site index;
107		b. Providing access to a copy of the settlement agreement or information about it A
108		webpage dedicated to an individual enforcement proceeding, such as a docket
109		webpage, that also includes any associated materials (e.g., case summaries, press
110		releases, related adjudication materials, links to any related actions)-through a
111		docket webpage; and
112		c. Providing access to a $\underline{A}$ search engine that allows users to easily locate settlement
113		agreements and sort, narrow, or filter settlement agreementsthem by case type,

date, case number, party, and keyword.