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Agencies adjudicate millions of cases each year. The matters they adjudicate are diverse, as are the processes they use to do so. Some processes are trial-like; others are informal. Some are adversarial; others are non-adversarial. Agencies conduct many different types of proceedings in the course of adjudicating cases, such as investigatory hearings, prehearing and scheduling conferences, settlement conferences, evidentiary hearings, and appellate arguments.

Members of the public—participants’ family and friends, media representatives, representatives of non-governmental organizations, researchers, and others—may seek to observe adjudicative proceedings for any number of reasons.

Agencies must determine whether and how to allow public access to the proceedings they conduct. The Constitution and federal statutes establish the basic parameters for that determination. The Supreme Court has interpreted the First Amendment to provide a general right of public access to judicial proceedings,¹ and a number of federal courts have held that the same right extends to at least some proceedings conducted by administrative agencies.² Federal statutes, such as the Government in the Sunshine Act³ and certain statutes specific to particular programs and agencies, require that agencies open or close certain aspects of adjudicative proceedings.
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proceedings to public observation. Agencies may need to transcribe or record certain adjudicative proceedings and may be required, under the Federal Advisory Committee Act\(^4\) or other laws, to make such records publicly available. Conversely, the Freedom of Information Act\(^5\), the Privacy Act\(^6\) and other laws and executive-branch policies may require agencies to protect sensitive interests and information.

On top of these constitutional and statutory requirements, many agencies have adopted their own policies regarding public access to adjudicative proceedings.\(^7\) Settling on a sound policy for determining which proceedings should be open to public observation can require a careful balancing of different, and sometimes conflicting, interests. Proceedings open to public observation promote transparency, public accountability, and public understanding of agency decision making. Openness encourages fair process for private parties and promotes accurate and efficient decision making by subjecting arguments and evidence to public scrutiny. And many participants, especially self-represented parties, people with disabilities, and children, benefit from having a family member, friend, personal care attendant, case worker, or other supportive member of the public present at their proceedings.

There can, however, be drawbacks to opening adjudicative proceedings to the public. Many adjudications involve sensitive information that would be publicly disclosed in an open proceeding. Public disclosure of unverified information or unproven allegations may result in unwarranted reputational harm to private parties. Just as open proceedings allow family members and other supportive members of the public to accompany participants, they also allow in those

\(^4\) 5 U.S.C. App. 11. Although the Federal Advisory Committee Act principally governs the operation of advisory committees, section 11 of the Act requires agencies to “make available to any person, at actual cost of duplication, copies of transcripts of agency proceedings.” 5 U.S.C. App. 11(a). “Agency proceedings” means agency processes for rulemaking, adjudication, and licensing. Id. 11(b).

\(^5\) The Administrative Conference has recommended that agencies consider providing access on their websites to supporting adjudicative materials issued and filed in adjudicative proceedings. Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2017-1, Adjudication Materials on Agency Websites, 82 Fed. Reg. 31039 (July 5, 2017).

\(^6\) Id. § 552.

\(^7\) Id. § 552a.

\(^8\) See Graboyes & Thomson, supra note 2.
who would intimidate or harass. Openness may also affect the dynamic of agency proceedings, leaving them vulnerable to disruption or leading them to become unduly adversarial or protracted. There can also be administrative costs associated with facilitating in-person or remote observation of adjudicative proceedings by members of the public, providing advance public notice of open proceedings, and providing access to transcripts and recordings of open proceedings. These costs may be warranted in some circumstances but not others.

This Recommendation recognizes that agency adjudicative proceedings vary widely in their purpose, complexity, and governing law and the degree of public interest they attract. It also recognizes that not all agencies can bring the same resources to bear in addressing public access to their adjudicative proceedings. In offering these best practices, the Administrative Conference encourages agencies to develop policies that comply with all relevant constitutional and statutory requirements for public access; recognize the benefits of public access for members of the public, private parties, agencies, and other participants; and account for any countervailing interests, such as privacy and confidentiality.

**RECOMMENDATION**

**Policies for Public Access to Agency Adjudicative Proceedings**

1. Agencies should promulgate and publish procedural regulations governing public access to their adjudicative proceedings in the Federal Register and codify them in the Code of Federal Regulations. In formulating these regulations, agencies, in addition to adhering to any constitutional or statutory requirements for public access, should consider the benefits of public access and countervailing interests, such as privacy and confidentiality, as elaborated below. These regulations should, as spelled out in detail below, include the following:
   a. A list of proceedings that should be categorically or presumptively open or closed, and standards for determining when adjudicators may or must depart from such presumption in individual cases (see Paragraphs 45–67);
   b. The manners in which members of the public can observe open proceedings, for
example by attending in person (e.g., at an agency hearing room) or by remote means (e.g., online or by telephone) (see Paragraphs 28–314); c. Requirements, if any, for advance public notice of proceedings (see Paragraphs 4011–4314); and d. The public availability of and means of accessing recordings of proceedings (see Paragraphs 4415–4617).

2. In conjunction with such regulations, agencies should develop guidelines that set forth, in plain language, the following information for proceedings that are open to the public:

a. Where and how agencies will communicate the schedule of upcoming open proceedings to the public;

b. Where and how members of the public can observe open proceedings;

c. Whether the registration process, if any, required for members of the public to register before attending open proceedings and how they should do so;

d. Whom members of the public should contact if they have questions about observing open proceedings;

e. Instructions for accessing agency or non-agency facilities at which members of the public can observe open proceedings where proceedings are held;

f. Any requirements for conduct by public observers at open proceedings (e.g., regarding the possession and use of electronic devices);

g. Protocols for facilitating media coverage of open proceedings; and

h. Policies for managing open proceedings that attract high levels of public interest.

3. Agencies should also consider whether presumptively closed proceedings may be open to select members of the public, such as family members or caregivers, and, if so, develop guidelines for such situations that address, as relevant, the information in Paragraph 2.

4. Agencies should post the regulations described in Paragraph 1, the guidelines described in Paragraph 2, and any other information about public access to adjudicative proceedings, in an appropriate location on their websites.
Standards and Procedures for Determining Which Adjudicative Proceedings Are Open or Closed

4.5 Agencies should adopt the presumption that evidentiary hearings and appellate proceedings (including oral arguments) are open to public observation and may be closed, in whole or in part, only to the extent consistent with the First Amendment and other potential constitutional and statutory bases for requiring open proceedings, and only to the extent necessary to protect a compelling interest such as:

a. National security;
b. Law enforcement interests;
c. Confidentiality of sensitive business information;
d. Especially sensitive personal privacy interests;
e. The interests of minors and juveniles; or
f. Other interests protected by statute or regulation.

In some programs, it may be that the need to protect one or more of these interests or categories of information will ordinarily outweigh the public interest in open proceedings. For such programs, agencies may presume that evidentiary hearings and appellate proceedings will be closed to public observation while retaining the ability to open these proceedings, in whole or in part, in particular cases or to particular individuals.

5.6 Agencies should consider whether types of adjudicative proceedings other than evidentiary hearings and appellate proceedings that are typically closed (such as investigatory hearings and prehearing conferences) should be open to public observation. In doing so, agencies, in addition to adhering to any constitutional or statutory requirements for public access, should consider, at a minimum, the following:

a. Whether public access would promote important policy objectives such as transparency, fairness to parties, accurate and efficient development of records for decisionmaking, or public participation;
b. Whether public access would impede important policy objectives such as
encouraging candor, achieving consensus, deciding cases and resolving disputes
in an efficient manner, preventing intimidation or harassment of participants,
avoiding unwarranted reputational harm to participants, or protecting national
security, law enforcement, confidentiality of sensitive business information,
especially sensitive personal privacy interests, the interests of minors and
juveniles, and other similarly compelling interests;

c. Whether such proceedings or the broader adjudication process of which the
proceeding at issue is a part typically include opportunities for public access;
d. Whether there is often public interest in observing such proceedings; and
e. Whether matters to be discussed at such proceedings ordinarily involve issues of
broad public interest or the interests of persons beyond the parties.

Agencies should adopt processes for departing from or considering requests to depart
from a presumption of open or closed proceedings in particular cases. Agencies should
consider addressing the following topics in the procedural regulations described in
Paragraph 1:

a. How parties to a case can request that proceedings that are presumptively open to
public observation be closed or that proceedings that are presumptively closed to
public observation be open to particular individuals or the general public;
b. How non-parties to a case can request access, for themselves or the general
public, to proceedings that are presumptively closed to public observation;
c. How individuals can respond or object to requests regarding public access made
in subparagraphs (a) or (b);
d. Under what circumstances adjudicators or other agency officials can, on their own
motion, close proceedings that are presumptively open to public observation or
open proceedings that are presumptively closed to public observation;
e. Whether and how adjudicators or other agency officials must document and notify
participants about decisions regarding public access; and
f. Who, if anyone, can appeal decisions regarding public access and, if so, when, to
whom, and how they may do so.
Manner of Public Observation of Open Adjudicative Proceedings

7-8. When adjudicators conduct open proceedings in public hearing rooms, members of the public should have the opportunity to observe the proceedings from the rooms in which they are conducted, subject to reasonable security protocols, resource and space constraints, and concerns about disruptions.

8-9. Agencies should provide all or select members of the public the opportunity to observe open adjudicative proceedings remotely. Agencies should provide remote access in a way that is appropriate for a particular proceeding, such as by providing a dial-in number to select members of the public on request or by livestreaming audio or video of the proceedings to the general public online. Agencies should structure remote access in a way that avoids disruptions, such as by ensuring that public observers cannot:
   a. ensuring that public observers who are not entitled to participate in proceedings can only observe them and cannot interact with participants or other observers by unmute themselves,
   b. sharing visual content or annotating shared documents, or
   c. using chat or file sharing features common in internet-based videoconferencing software.

9-10. Agencies should consider whether interested members of the public are likely to encounter any barriers to accessing open adjudicative proceedings. Agencies should consider, for example, whether any measures are needed to accommodate people with disabilities, people for whom it may be difficult to make arrangements to travel to locations where proceedings are conducted, and people who do not have access to electronic devices or private internet services necessary to observe proceedings remotely. Agencies should also consider whether security protocols at the facilities where proceedings are conducted or other factors make in-person attendance difficult or impractical.
Advance Public Notice of Adjudicative Proceedings

Agencies should provide advance public notice of open adjudicative proceedings and consider whether to provide advance public notice of proceedings that are not open to public observation. Agencies that determine that advance public notice would be beneficial should consider (a) the best places and publications for providing such notice, (b) the information provided in the notice, and (c) the timing of the notice. Agencies that regularly conduct open proceedings should also consider maintaining a schedule of and information about upcoming proceedings in an appropriate location on their websites.

To determine the best places and publications for providing advance public notice of adjudicative proceedings, agencies should consider their needs and available resources and the individuals, communities, and organizations that are likely to be interested in or affected by such proceedings. Places and publications where agencies might provide public notice of proceedings include:

a. The Federal Register;
b. A press release, digest, newsletter, or blog post published by the agency;
c. An agency events calendar;
d. Social media;
e. A newspaper or other media outlet that members of the public who may be interested in observing the proceeding are likely to monitor;
f. A physical location that potentially interested members of the public are likely to see (e.g., a bulletin board at a jobsite or agency office);
g. An email sent to persons who have subscribed to a mailing list or otherwise opted to receive updates about a particular adjudication; or
h. A communication sent directly to members of the public, communities, and organizations who may be interested in observing the proceeding.

Agencies should include the following information in any public notice for an open adjudicative proceeding, as applicable:

a. The name and docket number or other identifying information for the proceeding;
199. The date and time of the proceeding;

c. The ways that members of the public can observe the proceeding, along with the
directions, if any, for registering or requesting access to the proceeding and, for
in-person observers, instructions for accessing the facility where the proceeding
will take place, including any security or public health protocols and disability
accommodations;

d. A brief summary of the proceeding’s purpose; and

e. Contact information for a person who can answer questions about the proceeding.

Agencies should determine the appropriate timing for providing and updating
public notice of adjudicative proceedings given the nature of their programs and the
proceeding at issue. More advance notice may be warranted, for example, if significant
public interest in an open proceeding is likely and interested members of the public will
need to travel to observe it in person.

**Public Access to Transcripts and Recordings of Adjudicative Proceedings**

Consistent with applicable constitutional and statutory requirements and the
objectives identified in paragraph 1, agencies should consider how they make transcripts
and recordings of adjudicative proceedings available to interested members of the public.

To provide public access to such records, an agency might, for example:

a. Make transcripts and recordings available for public inspection in a reading room,
docket office, or other agency facility;

b. Post transcripts and recordings in an appropriate location on its website, for
example in an electronic docket system;

c. Make transcripts and recordings available for public inspection on another public
website not controlled by the agency, such as a public video sharing website, but
only after weighing the costs and benefits of doing so;

d. Provide, or arrange for court reporters working under contract with the
government to provide, copies of transcripts and recordings on request, at no cost
to the requestor or, for a fee that is no more than the actual cost of duplication.
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though the agency may charge a reasonable, additional fee for expedited
processing, or

Arrange for court reporters who transcribe or record proceedings under contract
with the government to provide copies of such records on request, at no cost to the
requestor or for a fee that is no more than the actual cost of duplication.

Agencies should take steps to redact any information that is protected by law or
policy from public disclosure before providing public access to transcripts and
recordings.

Agencies should ensure that transcripts and recordings of open proceedings are
available for public inspection in a timely manner.