

Protected Materials in Public Rulemaking Dockets

Committee on Rulemaking

Proposed Recommendation for Committee | November 18, 2020

1As part of the rulemaking process, an agency creates a public rulemaking docket, which2consists of all rulemaking materials the agency has: (1) proactively published online or (2) made3available for public inspection in a reading room. Public rulemaking dockets include materials4agencies generate themselves and comments agencies receive from the public. Their purpose is5to provide the public with the information that informed the agency's rulemaking.¹6The Administrative Conference has issued several recommendations to help agencies

- 7 balance the competing considerations of transparency and confidentiality in managing their
- 8 public rulemaking dockets.² This project builds on these recommendations.

9 The scope of the Recommendation is limited to personal information and confidential
10 commercial information that an agency has decided to withhold from its public rulemaking
11 docket, which this Recommendation calls "protected material." The Recommendation specifies

Commented [TR1]: Note for the Committee: Other than the edits identified in the comments below, all other changes ACUS staff made to this document are purely stylistic.

¹ The public rulemaking docket is distinguished from "the administrative record for judicial review," which is intended to provide courts with a record for evaluating challenges to the rule, and the "rulemaking record," which means the full record of materials before the agency in an informal rulemaking and includes all materials the agency considered during the course of the rulemaking. *See* Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2013-4, *The Administrative Record in Informal Rulemaking*, 78 Fed. Reg. 41,358 (July 10, 2013).

² Recommendation 2011-1, Legal Considerations in e-Rulemaking, advises agencies to allow submitters to flag confidential information, including trade secrets, and advises agencies to devise procedures for reviewing and handling such information. Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2011-1, Legal Issues in e-Rulemaking, ¶ 1, 76 Fed. Reg. 48,789, 48,790 (Aug. 9, 2011). Recommendation 2013-4, supra note 1, ¶ 11, advises agencies to develop guidance on managing and segregating protected information, such as confidential commercial information and sensitive personal information, while disclosing non-protected materials. See also Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 89-7, Federal Regulation of Biotechnology, 54 Fed. Reg. 53,494 (Dec. 29, 1988); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 80-6, Intragovernmental Communications in Informal Rulemaking Proceedings, 45 Fed. Reg. 86,408 (Dec. 31, 1980).



12	how agencies should handle protected material, notwithstanding any countervailing benefits of
13	disclosure. For purposes of this Recommendation, personal information is information that can
14	be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, either alone or when combined with other
15	information.3 Confidential commercial information is commercial information that is customarily
16	kept private, or at least closely held, by the person or business providing it.4 Other types of
17	information, such as national security information and copyrighted materials, are beyond the
18	Recommendation's scope. The Recommendation is also limited to addressing procedures for
19	protecting materials that agencies decide warrant protection. It is not intended to define the
20	universe of protected materials.
21	A consist constant multic comments for their multic multipling destrate mimorily through
21	Agencies accept public comments for their public rulemaking dockets primarily through
22	Regulations.gov, their own websites, and email. Regulations.gov and many agency websites that
23	accept comments expressly notify the public that agencies may publish the information they
24	receive in public comments. ⁵ When a person submits a comment to an agency, however, the
25	agency typically does not immediately publish the comment. Instead, agencies generally take
26	time to review comments before publishing them. Most agencies perform at least some kind of

- 27 screening during this period.
- 28 For all agencies, whether to withhold or disclose protected material is governed by
- 29 various laws: some mandate disclosure, some mandate withholding, and some leave agencies
- 30 with substantial discretion in deciding whether to disclose. Although a full description of those

2

Commented [TR2]: Note for the Committee: The Committee may wish to consider deleting this phrase or substituting the word "notwithstanding" for a different word.

Commented [TR3]: Note for the Committee: ACUS staff added these definitions to provide greater clarity.

 $^{^3}$ See Office of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Office of The President, OMB Circular No. A-130, Managing Information as a Strategic Resource § 10 (37) (2016).

⁴ See Food Mktg. Inst. v. Argus Leader Media, 139 S. Ct. 2356, 2363 (2019).

⁵ See Christopher Yoo, Protected Materials in Public Rulemaking Dockets 24 (Mar. 10, 2020) (draft report to the Admin. Conf. of the U.S.), https://www.acus.gov/report/draft-report-protected-materials-public-rulemaking-dockets.



- laws is beyond the scope of this Recommendation, a brief overview of at least some of this bodyof law helps to identify issues at hand.
- The Administrative Procedure Act requires agencies to "give interested persons an opportunity to participate in rulemaking through submission of written data, views, or arguments."⁶ The United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit has interpreted this provision to require ordinarily that agencies make publicly available the critical information including studies, data, and methodologies—underlying proposed rules.⁷
- 38 The Privacy Act and the Trade Secrets Act place limits on the disclosure norm discussed
- 39 above. Generally, the Privacy Act prevents agencies from disclosing any information about a
- 40 person, such as medical records, educational background, and employment history, contained in
- 41 an agency's system of records without that person's written consent.⁸ The Trade Secrets Act
- 42 generally prevents agencies from disclosing trade secrets and other kinds of confidential
- 43 commercial information, such as corporate losses and profits.⁹
- 44 Both the Privacy Act and the Trade Secrets Act have exceptions. For the Privacy Act, the
- 45 only exception relevant to this Recommendation is for information required to be released under
- the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).¹⁰ The Trade Secrets Act only has one exception, which
- 47 covers any materials authorized to be disclosed by statute (including FOIA) or regulation.¹¹
- 48 Whether a particular piece of personal or confidential commercial information meets one of the
- 49 exceptions often involves a complex determination that depends upon the exact type of

9 18 U.S.C. § 1905.

3

^{6 5} U.S.C. § 553(c).

⁷ See Portland Cement Ass'n v. Ruckelshaus, 486 F.2d 375 (D.C. Cir. 1973).

^{8 5} U.S.C. § 552a(b).

¹⁰ 5 U.S.C. § 552a(b)(2).

¹¹ See CNA Fin. Corp. v. Donovan, 830 F.2d 1132, 1137-43 (D.C. Cir. 1987).



50	information at issue and its contemplated use, and agencies must determine the applicability of
51	the exceptions on a case-by-case basis. For example, whether FOIA authorizes disclosure of
52	confidential commercial information may turn in part on whether the agency in receipt of the
53	information assured the submitter that the information would be withheld from the public. ¹² If an
54	agency offers assurances that it will not disclose confidential commercial information, the
55	agency and the submitter may rely on those assurances as a defense against compelled disclosure
56	under FOIA. In many cases, agencies assure companies that they will not disclose such
57	information in order to encourage companies to submit it.
58	Either by law or as a matter of discretion, agencies often consider certain types of
28	
59	personal information and confidential commercial information to be protected material (e.g.,
60	trade secrets, social security numbers, bank account numbers, passport numbers, addresses, email
61	addresses, medical information, and information concerning a person's finances), though
62	particular cases are governed by specific requirements of law, not broad categorical labels.
63	There are many ways such protected material may arrive at the agency in a rulemaking. A
64	person might submit his or her own information, intentionally or unintentionally, and then ask
65	the agency not to disclose it. A third party might submit another person's information, with or
66	without that person's knowledge. A company might submit a document containing its own
67	confidential commercial information, intentionally or unintentionally, with or without the
68	agency's prior assurance of protection. Or a company might submit another company's or
69	person's information. Depending on the information in question, and the manner in which it was
70	submitted, there may be issues of waiver of statutory protection. Such questions, like all
71	questions regarding the substance of the laws governing protected material, are beyond this

Commented [TR4]: Note for the Committee: These paragraphs, which describe relevant law, replace a previous description of the law that the Committee asked us to extensively revise.

4

¹² See Food Mktg. Inst., 139 S. Ct. at 2361.



72 Recommendation's scope, but they illustrate the various considerations that a	agencies and the
--	------------------

73 public often face in the submission and handling of such material.

74	This Recommendat	on prescribes	steps agencies	can take to	withhold p	protected mat	erials

- 75 from their public rulemaking dockets while still providing the public with the information upon
- 76 which agencies relied in formulating a proposed rule.¹³

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendations for All Agencies

77	1.	To reduce the risk that agencies will inadvertently disclose personal or confidential
78		commercial information they determine should be withheld from the public rulemaking
79		docket (hereinafter "protected material"), agencies should develop written policies that
80		specify exactly what kinds of personal and confidential commercial information qualify
81		as "protected material" and should clearly notify the public about their treatment of
82		protected material. An agency's notifications should:
83		a. Inform members of the public that comments are generally subject to public
84		disclosure, except when disclosure is limited by law;
85		b. Inform members of the public whether the agency offers assurances of protection
86		from disclosure for their confidential commercial information and, if so, how to
87		identify such information for the agency:

¹³ Permitting the submission of anonymous and pseudonymous comments is one way that some agencies attempt to reduce the privacy risks that commenters face when submitting protected material. Issues regarding the submission of anonymous and pseudonymous comments are being considered in an ongoing project of the Administrative Conference titled *Mass, Computer-Generated, and Fraudulent Comments* and are beyond the scope of this Recommendation.

5

DRAFT November 13, 2020

Commented [TR5]: Note for the Committee: These paragraphs, which describe examples of protected material, replace a previous description of examples that the Committee instructed us to extensively revise.

Commented [TR6]: Note for the Committee: This paragraph originally read: "Agencies should decide whether to withhold protected personal information or confidential commercial information (hereinafter 'protected material') from public rulemaking dockets, notwithstanding any countervailing public benefits of disclosure." ACUS staff revised this because the original wording implies that agencies have a choice as to whether to withhold protected material whereas in many instances, the outcome may be prescribed by law. Additionally, the revised version introduces the notion of agencies' establishing written policies, which was an implicit assumption underlying subsequent numbered paragraphs and is now explicit.



88		c.	Instruct members of the public never to submit unique identification numbers
89			such as social security numbers and other kinds of protected material that pertain
90			to third parties, such as medical information and trade secrets;
91		d.	Advise members of the public to review their comments for the material identified
92			above in (c) and, if they find such material, to remove it;
93		e.	Inform members of the public that they may request, during the period between
94			when a comment is received and when it is made public, that protected material
95			they inadvertently submitted be withheld from the public rulemaking docket;
96		f.	Inform members of the public that they may request, after the agency has
97			published any comment, that protected material pertaining to themselves or to
98			their dependents within the comment be removed from the public rulemaking
99			docket; and
100		g.	Inform members of the public that the agency reserves the right to redact or
101			aggregate any part of a comment if the agency determines that it constitutes
102			protected material, or may withhold a comment in its entirety if it determines that
103			redaction or aggregation would insufficiently prevent the disclosure of this
104			material.
105	2.	An ag	ency should include the written policies and notifications described in Paragraph 1,
106		or a lii	nk to those written policies and notifications, in at least the following places:
107		a.	Within the rulemaking document on which the agency requests comments, such
108			as a notice of proposed rulemaking or an advance notice of proposed rulemaking;
109		b.	On the agency's own comment submission form, if the agency has one;
110		c.	Within any automatic emails that an agency sends acknowledging receipt of a
111			comment;
112		d.	On any part of the agency's website that describes its rulemaking process; and
113		e.	Within any notices of public meetings pertaining to the rule.
114	3.	The G	eneral Services Administration's eRulemaking Program Management Office
115		should	work with agencies that participate in Regulations.gov to include or refer to the 6

DRAFT November 13, 2020

Commented [TR7]: Note for the Committee: No substantive changes were made to (c) and (d), but the Acting Committee Chair thinks they are worth revisiting. They are in tension with the statements in the preamble that "[t]he Recommendation is . . . limited to addressing procedures for protecting materials that agencies decide warrant protection. It is not intended to define the universe of protected materials."

Commented [TR8]: Note for the Committee: This originally read "personal information." The Acting Committee Chair believes that this paragraph should not be limited to "personal information." Framing this recommendation broadly to cover all protected material will avoid the appearance of implying that this is not also an issue for confidential commercial information.

Commented [TR9]: Note for the Committee: This originally read "notifications" rather than "written policies and notification." ACUS staff added "written policies" to conform with the changes made to Paragraph 1. This rationale also applies to the use of "written policies and notifications" in Paragraph 3.



116		written policies and notifications described in Paragraph 1 within any automated emails
117		Regulations.gov sends acknowledging receipt of a comment.
118	4.	If a submitter notifies an agency that the submitter inadvertently included protected
119		material in the submitter's comment, the agency should act as promptly as possible to
120		determine whether such material warrants withholding from the public rulemaking docket
121		and, if so, withhold it from the public rulemaking docket, or, if already disclosed, remove
122		it from the public rulemaking docket.
123	5.	Agencies should allow third parties to request that protected material pertaining to
124		themselves or a dependent be removed from the public rulemaking docket. Agencies
125		should review such requests and, upon determining that the material subject to the request
126		qualifies as protected material, should remove it from the public rulemaking docket as
127		promptly as possible.
		Recommendations for Agencies That Screen Comments for Protected Material
		Before Publication in the Public Rulemaking Docket
128	6.	Agencies that screen comments for protected material before publication in the public
129		rulemaking docket, either as required by law or as a matter of agency discretion, should
130		redact the protected material, if appropriate, and publish the rest of the comment, if such
131		material appears infrequently. Redaction should be thorough enough to prevent a person
132		from discerning the redacted material, but not so broad as to prevent the public from

133 viewing non-protected material.

1347.If redaction is not feasible due to the high volume of protected material within a135comment, agencies should consider presenting the data in a summarized form, such as an136average (hereinafter "aggregation"). Agencies should work with data science experts and137others in relevant disciplines to ensure that aggregation is thorough enough to prevent138someone from disaggregating the data (i.e., relinking the aggregated data with the people139to whom such data belong).

Commented [TR10]: Note for the Committee: This, and all other uses of the term "protected material" within this section, initially read "personal information." Christopher Yoo's research revealed that some agencies do screen comments for confidential commercial information (even though, as his report notes, screening for such information may be unnecessary) and the Acting Committee Chair believes that paragraphs 6–9 apply with equal force to such agencies. Framing this recommendation broadly to cover all protected material will avoid the appearance of implying that this is not also an issue for confidential commercial information.

Commented [TR11]: Note for the Committee: No substantive changes were made to this paragraph, but the Committee may wish to consider whether the more likely scenario is an agency's aggregating multiple comments (rather than data contained within a single comment) and, if so, whether to rephrase this paragraph.

7



164

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

140	8.	If redaction and aggregation would still permit a member of the public to identify	
141		protected material, agencies should withhold the comment in its entirety. When doing so,	
142		they should describe the withheld material for the public in as much detail as possible	
143		without compromising its confidentiality. Agencies should include such descriptions	
144		within the preambles to pertinent final rules. Agencies should also include, on the parts of	
145		their websites that describe their rulemaking processes, their general policy, if any, with	
146		respect to preparing such descriptions and their policies with respect to redacting,	
147		aggregating, and withholding protected material.	 Commented [TR12]: Note for the Committee: This
148	9.	When deciding whether and how to redact, aggregate, or withhold protected material,	originally read "agencies should consider preparing explanatory staff or technical reports and should publish
149		agencies should explore using a variety of artificial intelligence-based tools to aid in	these reports on the parts of their websites that describe their rulemakings processes and within the preambles to final
150		identifying protected material. Agencies should speak with private sector experts and	rules." The ACUS staff changed this because the terms "explanatory staff or technical reports" are not clear and the
151		technology-focused agencies, such as the General Services Administration's Technology	revised language captures what seems to have been the Committee's intent here.
152		Transformation Service and the Office of Management and Budget's United States	
153		Digital Service, to determine which tools are most appropriate and how they can best be	
154		deployed given the agencies' resources.	
155		Recommendations for Agencies That Offer Assurances of Protection from	
156		Disclosure of Confidential Commercial Information	
157	10	. Agencies that offer assurances of protection from disclosure of confidential commercial	
158		information should decide how they will offer such assurances. Agencies can choose to	
159		inform submitters, directly upon submission, that they will withhold confidential	
160		commercial information from the public rulemaking docket; post a general notice	
161		informing submitters that confidential commercial information will be withheld from the	
162		public rulemaking docket; or both.	
163	11	. Such agencies should adopt policies to help them identify such information. Agencies	

8

should include the following, either in tandem or as alternatives, as part of their policies:



165	a. Instructing submitters to clearly identify that the document contains confidential
166	commercial information;
167	b. Instructing submitters to flag the particular text within the document that
168	constitutes confidential commercial information; and
169	c. Instructing submitters to submit both redacted and unredacted versions of a
170	comment that contains confidential commercial information.

9