## **Protected Materials in Public Rulemaking Dockets**

#### **Committee on Rulemaking**

## Proposed Recommendation for Committee | August 4, 2020

The Administrative Conference has issued several recommendations to help agencies balance the competing considerations of transparency and confidentiality in managing their rulemaking dockets.<sup>1</sup> This project builds on these recommendations. It provides greater specificity to agencies on how they should handle rulemaking materials they determine should be withheld to protect sensitive business or personal information, notwithstanding any countervailing benefits of disclosure (hereinafter "protected material").

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

As part of the rulemaking process, an agency creates a public rulemaking docket, which consists of all rulemaking materials the agency has: (1) publicly disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA); (2) proactively published online; or (3) made available for public inspection in a reading room. Public rulemaking dockets include materials agencies generate themselves and comments agencies receive from the public. Broadly speaking, public rulemaking dockets serve three purposes: providing the public with the information the agency considered in a rulemaking, providing courts with a record for evaluating challenges to the rule, and satisfying agency recordkeeping requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example, Recommendation 2011-1, *Legal Considerations in e-Rulemaking*, advises agencies to allow submitters to flag confidential information, including trade secrets, and advises agencies to devise procedures for reviewing and handling such information. Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2011-1, *Legal Issues in e-Rulemaking*, ¶ 1, 76 Fed. Reg. 48,789, 48,790 (Aug. 9, 2011). Recommendation 2013-4, the *Administrative Record in Informal Rulemaking*, advises agencies to develop guidance on managing and segregating protected information, such as commercial information and sensitive personal information, while disclosing non-protected materials. Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2013-4, *The Administrative Record in Informal Rulemaking*, ¶11, 78 Fed. Reg. 41,358, 41,361 (July 10, 2013).



#### ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Currently, agencies accept public comments for their public rulemaking dockets through Regulations.gov and their own websites. Regulations.gov and agency websites that accept comments expressly notify the public that the agency may publish the information it receives.<sup>2</sup> When a person submits a comment to an agency, however, the agency does not immediately publish the comment. Instead, agencies take time to review comments before publishing them. Most agencies perform at least some kind of screening during this period.

Agencies perform this screening because, in maintaining their public rulemaking dockets, they confront competing considerations of transparency and confidentiality. On the transparency side, FOIA presumes disclosure of information requested by a member of the public, subject to certain exceptions described below. And the *Portland Cement* doctrine requires agencies to make publicly available the critical information — including technical studies, staff reports, data, and methodologies — underlying proposed rules.<sup>3</sup>

But agencies often receive materials during rulemaking for which the law authorizes withholding because of their content. For example, one of FOIA's exemptions, called "Exemption 6," covers "personnel and medical files and other similar files the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy." "Similar files" means any information about a person, such as a name, address, or occupation, that can be used to identify the person. In deciding whether Exemption 6 applies, courts determine whether disclosure would constitute a "clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." In making this determination, they balance the privacy interests of the person to whom the information pertains against society's interest in learning about governmental processes. Privacy interests are greatest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Christopher Yoo, Protected Materials in Public Rulemaking Dockets 24 (Mar. 10, 2020) (draft report to the Admin. Conf. of the U.S.), https://www.acus.gov/report/draft-report-protected-materials-public-rulemaking-dockets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Portland Cement v. Ruckelshaus, 486 F.2d 375 (D.C. Cir. 1973).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Cook v. Nat'l Archives & Records Admin., 758 F.3d 168, 174 (2d Cir. 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Sherman v. U.S. Dep't of Army, 244 F.3d 357, 361 (5th Cir. 2001).



#### ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

when the projected harm from disclosure of the information includes identity theft and fraud.<sup>7</sup>

Privacy interests are minimal when a person has consented to the agency disclosing his or her

information. If an agency encounters information that falls under Exemption 6, FOIA authorizes

the agency to exclude it from the public rulemaking docket.

Another FOIA exemption, called "Exemption 4," covers "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from an individual and confidential." Information is "confidential" within the meaning of Exemption 4 if it is "customarily . . . kept private or closely held by the submitter" and the government has given some assurance to the submitter, either explicitly or implicitly, that the information will not be publicly disclosed. An agency can assure a submitter that commercial information will not be publicly disclosed by, for example, directly communicating to the submitter an intent to not disclose his or her commercial information, posting a general notice informing submitters that their commercial information will not be disclosed, or engaging in an established practice of not disclosing commercial information. FOIA authorizes agencies to exclude from their public rulemaking dockets information falling under Exemption 4.

There are three categories of material that, according to the research underlying this Recommendation, agencies generally consider to be "protected materials." The first is unique identification numbers, either of submitters themselves or of third parties, that create a high risk of identity theft if disclosed. This category includes social security numbers, bank account numbers, and passport numbers. The second category consists of two kinds of information: information about the submitter submitted to the agency accidentally, and information pertaining

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See id. at 559.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Food Marketing Inst. v. Argus Leader Media, 139 S. Ct. 2356, 2361 (2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Office of Information Policy, Dep't of Justice, Exception 4 After the Supreme Court's Ruling in Food Marketing Institute v. Argus Leader Media (Oct. 4, 2019), https://www.justice.gov/oip/exemption-4-after-supreme-courts-ruling-food-marketing-institute-v-argus-leader-media.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Yoo, supra note 2, at 104, 124–26.



#### ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

to someone other than the submitter. Information within this category includes names, email addresses, physical addresses, medical information, and so on. The final category consists of commercial information provided to the agency under an assurance of privacy. Courts have generally authorized agencies to withhold materials in all three of these categories under FOIA Exemptions 4 and  $6.^{12}$ 

This Recommendation prescribes steps agencies can take to exclude from their public rulemaking dockets protected material while still providing the public with the information upon which the agency relied in formulating the proposed rule. The Recommendation also identifies resources that can help agencies implement this principle.

#### RECOMMENDATION

#### **Screening and Scrubbing Comments**

- 1. Agencies should decide which classes of rulemaking materials should be withheld to protect sensitive business or personal information, notwithstanding any countervailing public benefits of disclosure (hereinafter "protected material"). In making this decision, agencies should be aware that other agencies generally deem the following classes of material to be protected material:
  - a. Unique identification numbers including social security numbers, bank account numbers, and passport numbers;
  - b. Names, email addresses, physical addresses, incomes, medical information, and other kinds of personal information inadvertently submitted by the commenter or that pertain to third parties; and
  - c. Commercial information provided to the agency under an assurance of privacy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See, e.g., Taitz v. Astrue, 806 F. Supp. 2d 214, 220 (D.D.C. 2011) (authorizing, under Exemption 6, withholding of social security numbers); Schoenman v. FBI, 573 F. Supp. 2d 119, 149 (D.D.C. 2008) (authorizing, under Exemption 6, withholding of information pertaining to third parties); Food Marketing Inst., 139 S. Ct. at 2361 (authorizing, under Exemption 4, withholding of commercial information provided to the agency under an assurance of privacy).



## ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

- 2. Agencies should screen comments for protected material. If, when screening, an agency determines that a comment contains:
  - a. *Isolated instances of protected material*, the agency should redact that material and publish the rest of the comment. Redaction should be thorough enough to prevent a person from discerning the redacted information, but not so broad as to prevent the public from viewing non-protected material;
  - b. *Protected material pertaining to a large number of people*, the agency should aggregate such data and only publish the aggregated data. Agencies should work with data science experts and others in relevant disciplines to ensure that aggregation is thorough enough to prevent someone from disaggregating the data (i.e., linking the aggregated data with any person).
  - 3. If redaction and aggregation would still permit a member of the public to identify the redacted material, or disaggregate the aggregated material, the agency should withhold the comment in its entirety.
  - 4. Agencies should explore using a variety of computer-based tools to aid in their identification of protected material. This exploration should include speaking with private sector experts and technology-focused agencies such as the General Services Administration's Technology Transformation Service and the Office of Management and Budget's United States Digital Service to determine which tools are most appropriate and how they can be best deployed given the agencies' resources.

#### **Deciding Whether To Offer Assurances of Privacy For Commercial Information**

- 5. Agencies should recognize that there may be instances in which businesses want to submit commercial information, such as trade secrets, to inform the agencies' rulemaking efforts, but do not want such information to be made publicly available. Agencies should decide whether they will offer assurances of privacy for commercial information. Factors that weigh in favor of offering assurances of privacy include:
  - a. The agency has the resources to identify and withhold commercial information:



# ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

104		b. The agency receives a high volume of requests for private treatment of		
105		commercial information;		
106		c. The agency's rulemaking efforts can benefit from the agency's review of		
107		commercial information; and		
108		d. The agency can identify no substitute for commercial information that would		
109		inform its rulemaking in a comparable manner.		
110	6.	Agencies that choose to offer assurances of privacy for commercial information should		
111		decide how they will offer them. Agencies can choose to inform submitters, directly upon		
112		submission, that they will accord commercial information private treatment; post a		
113		general notice informing submitters that commercial information will be accorded private		
114		treatment; or both.		
115	7.	Agencies that choose to offer assurances of privacy for commercial information should		
116		adopt policies to help them identify it. Agencies should consider including the following,		
117		either in tandem or as alternatives, as part of their policies:		
118		a. Instructing submitters to write the word "Private," "Protected," or similar		
119		language within the header of their submissions that contain commercial		
120		information;		
121		b. Instructing submitters to flag the particular text within the comment that		
122		constitutes commercial information; and		
123		c. Instructing submitters to submit both redacted and unredacted versions of a		
124		comment that contains commercial information.		
125	8.	Agencies that choose to accord private treatment for commercial information should		
126		withhold such material, using the techniques described in Paragraph 2 as appropriate.		
		Allowing Submitters to Notify the Agency, Before the Agency Publishes the		
		Comment, of Material They Inadvertently Submitted		
127	9.	Agencies should give submitters an opportunity to alert relevant agency officials to any		
128		personal information they inadvertently included in their comments. To provide sufficient		

opportunity for people to notify the agency of inadvertently submitted personal



### ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

130	information, agencies should delay publishing comments for a reasonable amount of time
131	after they are received.

10. Agencies should ensure that the personal information submitters have identified as inadvertently submitted is not publicly disclosed.

# Allowing People to Notify the Agency, After the Agency Publishes the Comment, of Personal and Commercial Information They Want Removed

11. Agencies should allow people to request that personal or commercial information pertaining to themselves or a dependent within the comment be removed from public exposure. Agencies should review such requests and, upon determining that the information subject to the request is, in fact, personal or commercial information, they should take all steps necessary to so remove it.

#### **Describing Material an Agency Has Withheld**

12. When agencies withhold from public disclosure personal or commercial information they have received from the public in connection with a rulemaking and on which they have relied in formulating rules, they should describe the withheld material in as much detail as possible without compromising its confidentiality. In doing so, agencies should consider preparing explanatory staff or technical reports and should publish these reports



#### ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

on the parts of their websites that describe their rulemaking processes and within the preambles to final rules.

# Including Notifications for Members of the Public Before They Submit Comments or Otherwise Take Part in Rulemaking

- 13. To reduce the risk that agencies will inadvertently disclose protected material in connection with rulemakings, agencies should clearly notify the public about their treatment of protected material. An agency's notifications should:
  - a. Inform members of the public that all comments submitted are subject to public disclosure;
  - b. Instruct members of the public how they can submit comments anonymously, for example, by writing "Anonymous" in the name field on the online comment platform or by leaving the name field blank;
  - c. Inform members of the public what weight, if any, the agency accords comments that are submitted anonymously;
  - d. Inform members of the public whether the agency offers assurances of privacy for their commercial information and if so, how to identify such information for the agency;
  - e. Instruct members of the public never to submit unique identification numbers such as social security numbers and other kinds of personal or commercial information that pertain to third parties, such as medical information and trade secrets;
  - f. Advise members of the public to review their comments for the material identified above in e. and, if they find such material, to remove it;
  - g. Inform members of the public that they may request, during the period between when a comment is received and when it is made public, that personal information they inadvertently submitted be withheld;
  - h. Inform members of the public that they may request, after the agency has published any comment, that personal or commercial information pertaining



# ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

170		to themselves or to their dependents within the comment be removed from	
171		public exposure; and	
172		i. Inform members of the public that the agency reserves the right to redact or	
173		aggregate any part of a comment if the agency determines that it constitutes	
174		protected material, or may withhold a comment in its entirety if it determines	
175		that redaction or aggregation would insufficiently prevent the disclosure of	
176		this information.	
177	14. An ag	ency should include the notifications described in Paragraph 13 in at least the	
178	following places:		
179	a.	Within the rulemaking document upon which the agency requests comments, such	
180		as a notice of proposed rulemaking or an advanced notice of proposed	
181		rulemaking;	
182	b.	Within the online comment submission form on Regulations.gov, or, if the agency	
183		does not participate in Regulations.gov, on the agency's own comment	
184		submission form;	
185	c.	Within any automatic emails that an agency sends acknowledging receipt of a	
186		comment;	
187	d.	On any part of the agency's website that describes its rulemaking process; and	
188	e.	Within any notices of public meetings pertaining to the rule.	