

Plain Language in Regulatory Drafting

Committee on Regulation

Proposed Recommendation for Committee | October 4, 2017

For decades, agencies have worked to make regulatory requirements more comprehensible to regulatory stakeholders and the public at large, including by using "plain language" or "plain writing."¹ Clearly drafting and explaining regulations facilitates the core administrative law goals of public participation, efficient regulatory compliance, the protection of individual rights, and effective judicial review. Numerous statutory and executive requirements direct agencies to draft rules and guidance plainly.

Plain Language Legal Requirements

The Plain Writing Act of 2010 (PWA)² and Executive Order 13,563³ require agencies to use plain language in various public-facing documents. Plain writing, as defined by the PWA, is "writing that is clear, concise, well-organized, and follows other best practices appropriate to the subject or field and intended audience."⁴ The Plain Language Action and Information Network (PLAIN)⁵ further explains that "[w]ritten material is in plain language if your audience can find what they need, understand what they find, and use what they find to meet their needs."⁶ As such, writing in plain language does not mean abandoning complexity or nuance, nor does it

¹ These terms carry the same meaning, and will be used interchangeably here.

² Pub. L. 111-274, 124 Stat. 2861 (2010) (codified at 5 U.S.C. § 301 note).

³ Exec. Order 13,563, 76 Fed. Reg. 3821 (Jan. 18, 2011).

⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 301 note sec. 3(3).

⁵ PLAIN grew out of early, informal agency efforts to share plain writing tools and techniques, and has served as a hub for such resources since its establishment during the Clinton administration. Plain Language Action & Information Network, *About Us*, http://www.plainlanguage.gov/site/about.cfm.

⁶ Plain Language Action & Information Network, *What is Plain Language?*, *available at* http://www.plainlanguage.gov/whatisPL/index.cfm.



mean omitting technical terms.⁷ For the purposes of this recommendation, writing that is "plain"
conveys the intended meaning in a way the intended audience can easily understand.

The PWA requires agencies to use plain language in all "covered documents," which are: 16 documents necessary "for obtaining any Federal Government benefit or service or filing taxes;" 17 documents that "provide information about any Federal Government benefit or service," such as 18 pamphlets; and documents that explain "how to comply with a requirement the Federal 19 Government administers or enforces," such as guidance documents.⁸ Although the PWA does 20 not cover regulations, Executive Order 13,563 requires them to be "accessible, consistent, 21 written in plain language, and easy to understand."⁹ More specific obligations come from the 22 Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which interprets the PWA to apply to "rulemaking 23 preambles,"¹⁰ because a "regulation," as exempted by the PWA, is a "rule[] carrying the force of 24 law,"¹¹ but a preamble explains a rule's basis and purpose¹² and is not binding. 25 The PWA further directs agencies to: designate "senior officials to oversee . . . agency 26

- 26 The PWA further directs agencies to: designate senior officials to oversee ... agency
- 27 implementation;" communicate PWA requirements to employees and train them in plain writing;
- 28 maintain a "plain writing section of the agency's website;" and issue annual compliance

²⁹ reports.¹³ Finally, the Act precludes judicial review of agencies' compliance with its terms.¹⁴

⁷ For detailed guidance on how to achieve plainness without compromising nuance or avoiding important technical terms, consult the *Federal Plain Language Guidelines*, a resource compiled by PLAIN, which both the PWA and executive guidance direct agencies to use. Plain Language Action & Information Network, *Federal Plain Language Guidelines* (Rev. ed. May 2011), *available at* http://www.plainlanguage.gov/howto/guidelines/bigdoc/fullbigdoc.pdf.

⁸ 5 U.S.C. § 301 note sec. 3 (2).

⁹ Exec. Order No. 13,563 § 1(a), 76 Fed. Reg. 3821, 3821 (Jan. 18, 2011).

¹⁰ OFFICE OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, OMB MEM. M-11-15, FINAL GUIDANCE ON IMPLEMENTING THE PLAIN WRITING ACT OF 2010 (2011).

¹¹ See United States v. Mead Corp., 533 U.S. 218, 226–7 (2001).

¹² 5 U.S.C. § 553 (c).

¹³ 5 U.S.C. § 301 note sec. 4(a).

¹⁴ *Id.* § 301 note sec. 6.



Agency Plain Language Practices

30	The PWA formalized and expanded a decades-long internal administrative effort to
31	promote plain language in rules and guidance documents. ¹⁵ For instance, many agencies have
32	provided trainings and other resources on plain writing since the 1970s ¹⁶ —a practice codified by
33	the Act. ¹⁷ Some agencies make their trainings and related resources publicly available.
34	Trainings may cover the PWA's requirements and plain writing techniques, including the use of
35	organization and formatting to guide readers through a document; the use of bullets, lists, and
36	other visual aids; and the use of simple rather than complex vocabulary, where doing so will not
37	alter the intended meaning. Additionally, trainings may focus on meeting the needs of the
38	agency's various audiences, such as regulated small businesses.
39	Agencies must also designate officials to oversee compliance with the Act's
40	requirements, such as by delivering trainings. ¹⁸ These plain language officials are often situated
41	in agencies' media or public outreach offices, and rule and guidance drafters frequently
42	coordinate with these offices when communicating agency action to the public. ¹⁹ These existing
43	relationships, combined with the functions mandated by the PWA, position plain language
44	officials to support agency staff during-not just after-the drafting process.
45	Other efforts to promote plain writing are incorporated directly into rule and guidance
46	drafting processes. Agencies' internal drafting manuals, which provide style and formatting
47	guidelines, often encompass plain writing techniques. Agencies also have guidelines specifying
48	how offices within the agency should coordinate when drafting rules or guidance. These
49	practices have important implications for how plain writing is implemented, though divergent

¹⁵ See Cynthia Farina, Mary J. Newhart, & Cheryl Blake, *The Problem with Words: Plain Language and Public Participation in Rulemaking*, 83 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 1358, 1367–1379 (2015).

¹⁶ Blake Emerson & Cheryl Blake, Plain Language in Regulatory Drafting 33 (Sept. 6, 2017), *available at* https://www.acus.gov/report/plain-language-regulatory-drafting-draft-report.

¹⁷ 5 U.S.C § 301 note sec. 4(a)(1)(A), 4(a)(1)(C).

¹⁸ *Id.* § 301 note sec. 4(a).

¹⁹ Emerson & Blake, *supra* note 16, at 32–33.



50 approaches may be equally successful. For example, one agency's practice is to assign each office involved in drafting the responsibility for reviewing documents based on its expertise; this 51 52 can include reviewing documents for plain language, in addition to reviewing them for technical 53 sufficiency. In this agency, edits or comments on a document marked as within an office's 54 assigned responsibilities must be either accepted or resolved in consultation with that office. 55 Thus, a regulatory attorney may flag text that could be interpreted in multiple ways as an issue of both plainness and legal ambiguity. Similarly, program staff, economists, and engineers may be 56 57 responsible for ensuring that text involving their areas of expertise is not only accurate, but plain to relevant audiences. In other agencies, offices may not be assigned such formal 58 59 responsibilities, and the program office originating a rule or guidance may be in charge of reviewing the whole of the document and working with other participating offices to ensure text 60 is plainly written. 61

62 Each of the above practices structures how an agency drafts both rules and guidance, which may be used differently to inform an agency's audiences of regulatory requirements or 63 benefits.²⁰ For instance, a final rule may be targeted at an audience of legal professionals and 64 industry experts who expect certain terms of art to be used, whereas a guidance document may 65 66 walk a small business through the process of filing financial forms. In the guidance context, tailoring documents to particular audiences runs the risk of obscuring or glossing over important 67 information. Some commentators have raised concerns that guidance may omit salient 68 information, leaving non-specialist parties at a disadvantage compared to experts.²¹ Crafting 69 70 guidance carefully can ensure it is fully explanatory while remaining comprehensible—though this may come at the cost of brevity.²² 71

²⁰ Some envision regulatory documents situated along a "continuum" ranging from "complicated" documents like the rule itself to simpler documents that digest the material for non-specialist audiences. Note, as discussed above, no documents should gloss over complex information just because it may be more challenging to draft plainly.

²¹ Joshua D. Blank and Leigh Osofsky, *Simplexity: Plain Language and the Tax Law*, 66 EMORY L. J. 189, 193 (2017).

²² For a closer examination of guidance practices, see Nicholas R. Parrillo, Federal Agency Guidance: An Institutional Perspective (Sept. 18, 2017), *available at* https://www.acus.gov/sites/default/files/documents/parrillo-agency-guidance-draft-report.pdf.



Finally, though agencies have worked to implement plain writing for rules and guidance both prior to and since the PWA's enactment, challenges remain. Inter- and intra-agency coordination in drafting is inherently difficult. Additionally, departing from language that external stakeholders expect to see, or that has required significant negotiation, may be costly. And, due to ever-present resource constraints, agencies must prioritize investing in plain writing where audiences will most benefit.

* * *

This recommendation identifies tools and techniques agencies have successfully used to facilitate plain language drafting in regulatory documents. Additionally, this recommendation proposes best practices for agencies' internal drafting processes, makes suggestions to maximize the value of trainings and related resources, and notes special considerations for drafting rulemaking preambles and guidance documents.

RECOMMENDATION

Plain Writing Practices in General

Agencies should follow the plain language best practices and writing techniques
 documented in the *Federal Plain Language Guidelines*.

Agency Internal Drafting Processes

Agencies should consider directing one or more offices involved in drafting rules and
guidance to review them for plain language.

Agency Plain Language Officials, Trainings, and Related Resources

To improve the accessibility of rules and guidance, agency drafting staff should consider
soliciting input from the agency's communications and public outreach staff, particularly
those designated as senior officials responsible for overseeing an agency's compliance
with the Plain Writing Act (PWA).



91 4. In addition to delivering trainings on plain writing techniques and the requirements of the PWA and related executive guidance, agencies should consider making available trainings 92 93 and resources that focus on: 94 a. how plain language promotes the core administrative law goals of public participation, efficient compliance, judicial review, and the protection of rights; 95 96 and b. drafting processes, priorities, and techniques aimed at agency technical experts 97 98 involved in drafting rules or guidance who may not have significant exposure to 99 writing for non-specialist audiences. 100 5. In their PWA compliance reports, agencies should consider highlighting rulemaking 101 preambles and regulatory guidance that exemplify plain language best practices. **Plain Drafting in Regulatory Documents** 102 6. To support plain regulatory drafting, internal agency rulemaking guidelines should include: 103 104 a. A requirement that regulatory documents be written in terms that the relevant 105 audience can understand. 106 b. Information on plain language techniques and resources that the agency considers 107 most relevant to its rulemaking practice. Such techniques include: headings and 108 other formatting techniques to organize documents; bullets, lists, and other visual 109 aids; and examples of simple words that can easily replace more complex 110 vocabulary. c. Examples of how these techniques have been implemented in the agency's 111 112 regulatory documents.

6



- 113d. A discussion of the primary plain language audience for each component of a114proposed and final rule designed to assist drafters in tailoring information to115audience needs. In addition to accounting for the needs of each relevant audience116in any given document, at a minimum:
- 117 i. The preambles to proposed rules should include a summary that non-118 specialists and the general public can understand. Such summaries may be those already required by the Federal Register or applicable executive 119 guidance. Other subparts of the preamble should include language that is 120 121 plain for expert audiences where it is not practicable to describe the rule's 122 purpose, reasoning, or requirements without legal or technical language, although these subparts may benefit from brief introductory summaries 123 124 directed at non-specialists.
- ii. The preambles and text of final rules should be written in language that
 reviewing courts and attorneys inside and outside the agency can easily
 understand.
- 7. Agencies should consider including in notices of proposed rulemaking a request for
 comments on whether the regulation's purposes and requirements are clear and
 understandable. Agencies should also consider specifying topics or questions on which
 the agency would most benefit from feedback from non-specialist stakeholders and the
 general public.

Plain Drafting in Guidance Documents

8. Agencies should issue regulatory guidance tailored to the informational needs and level of expertise of the intended audiences. Audiences that are particularly likely to benefit from tailored guidance include: regulated small business; regulatory beneficiaries, e.g., benefit recipients, consumers, and protected classes; and private compliance offices, e.g. human resources departments. For audiences that may find complex technical and legal details



- inaccessible, plain language summaries, Q&As, or related formats may be especiallyhelpful.
- 9. When drafting guidance, agencies should strive to balance plainness, usefulness, and
 completeness. One way to help strike this balance is for guidance documents to include
 citations, hyperlinks, or other references enabling readers to easily locate underlying
 regulatory or statutory requirements. Where appropriate, readers should be encouraged to
 seek the advice of agency staff, counsel, or other relevant experts to clarify requirements
 of the guidance or underlying regulations or statutes.