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Agencies provide written guidance to help explain their programs and policies, announce interpretations and how they intend to exercise their discretion, and communicate other important information to regulated entities, regulatory beneficiaries, and the broader public. When used appropriately, guidance documents-including what the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) calls general statements of policy and interpretive rules ${ }^{1}$-can be important instruments of administration and of great value to agencies and the public. The Administrative Conference has adopted numerous recommendations to help agencies use and develop guidance documents effectively and appropriately, and to make them publicly available. ${ }^{2}$

Agencies regularly issue guidance addressed to the public. In many federal programs, individuals may also request written guidance from an agency regarding how the law applies to a requestor's specific circumstances. Such "individualized guidance" goes by a variety of names, including advisory opinions, opinion letters, and letters of interpretation. ${ }^{3}$ The Internal Revenue
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Service issues private letter rulings to provide tax law advice to taxpayers, ${ }^{4}$ for example, and the Securities and Exchange Commission issues no-action letters to provide advice regarding whether a product, service, or action may violate federal securities law. ${ }^{5}$ In some programs, the provision of individualized guidance is required by statute; in others, agencies offer individualized guidance on their own initiative as a public service.

Agency practices vary in several key respects. Some individualized guidance is issued in a relatively formal manner (e.g., via letter), while other individual guidance may be issued in relatively informal ways (e.g., via email). (This Recommendation does not address guidance provided orally.) Some individualized guidance is prepared and issued by lower-level staff, while other individualized guidance is reviewed and issued by agency heads or other senior officials. Some individualized guidance has no legally binding effect on the agency or requestor, while other such guidance may, for example, provide the requestor with a defense to an agency enforcement action. ${ }^{6}$

Individualized guidance offers many benefits. It facilitates communication between an agency and requestors, reduces uncertainty, promotes compliance, spurs useful transactions, and can be faster and less costly than other agency actions. For example, agencies may provide individualized guidance to help a regulated party better understand whether its conduct may be permissible, and this may limit the need for future enforcement action. In addition, making individualized guidance publicly available can inform other interested persons about how the agency evaluates issues that may affect them.
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At the same time, individualized guidance may raise concerns. Even if an agency does not intend to use individualized guidance to bind the public, requestors or others may nevertheless choose to follow the guidance strictly to limit the risk of sanction in a future agency proceeding. Agencies risk providing inconsistent guidance if they lack appropriate procedures for developing and reviewing it. Members of the public may lack equal access to processes for requesting individualized guidance or have limited opportunities to participate in processes for developing individualized guidance that affects them.

These benefits can be increased, and these concerns addressed, through the best practices identified in this Recommendation. It encourages agencies, when appropriate, to establish procedures for providing individualized guidance to members of the public. It identifies procedures agencies should use to process requests for individualized guidance fairly, efficiently, and accurately, and it encourages agencies to make individualized guidance available to agency personnel and the public. It recommends that agencies not treat individualized guidance as creating binding standards on the public but identifies circumstances in which agencies should consider allowing the public to rely on such guidance (that is, circumstances where agencies should consider adhering to guidance that is favorable to a person in a subsequent agency proceeding despite the nonbinding character of the guidance). Finally, it addresses circumstances in which agencies should use individualized guidance to support other forms of agency action.

This Recommendation recognizes the wide variation in the programs that agencies administer, the resources available to agencies, and the needs and preferences of persons with whom they interact. Agencies should account for these differences when implementing the best practices below and tailor their individualized guidance procedures accordingly.

## RECOMMENDATION

## Individualized Guidance Generally

1. Consistent with agency resources, agencies should provide individualized guidance in response to requests from individual members of the public-that is, written guidance regarding how the law applies to requestors' specific circumstances.
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2. Agencies should not use individualized guidance to create binding standards on members of the public-that is, standards with which noncompliance may form an independent basis for action in matters that determine the rights and obligations of the requestor or other members of the public.
3. Agencies should determine whether and when it is appropriate to allow a requestor or other individual to rely on individualized guidance, considering factors including:
a. The certainty of the relevant facts and law at the time the agency issued the guidance;
b. Changes in facts or law after initial issuance of the guidance;
c. The formality of the agency's individualized guidance procedure, including the position and authority of the agency officials involved in developing and issuing the guidance;
d. The accuracy and completeness of the information the requestor provided at the time it sought the guidance;
e. In the case of reliance by a person other than the requestor of individualized guidance, the similarity of the person's circumstances to the requestor's circumstances;
f. Whether allowing reliance is necessary to prevent significant hardship; and
g. The applicability of constitutional, statutory, or other authorities mandating or prohibiting a party's entitlement to rely on such guidance.
4. If agencies do not allow parties to rely on individualized guidance, agencies should minimize hardships on parties where appropriate and lawful, such as by reducing or waiving penalties for past non-compliance or issuing an order solely with prospective effect.

## Individualized Guidance Procedures

5. Agencies should develop and make publicly available written procedures for requesting and issuing individualized guidance. The procedures should describe:
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a. The procedure by which members of the public may submit requests for individualized guidance, including the office(s) or official(s) responsible for receiving requests;
b. The type(s) of individualized guidance members of the public may request;
c. Any matters that the agency will not address through individualized guidance, including the rationale for not providing guidance as to such matters;
d. The information that the requestor should include with the request for individualized guidance;
e. Any fees the agency charges for providing individualized guidance;
f. The procedure for responding to requests for individualized guidance, including the office(s) or official(s) responsible for preparing, reviewing, approving, and issuing such guidance;
g. Any opportunities for public participation in the preparation of individualized guidance;
h. The manner in which a response to a request for individualized guidance will be provided to the requestor and, if appropriate, made available to the general public;
i. To the extent practicable, the expected timeframe for responding to requests for individualized guidance;
j. Any procedure by which requestors may seek review of individualized guidance by a higher-level official; and
k. Whether requestors and others may rely on individualized guidance in subsequent proceedings.
6. Agencies should develop procedures for agency personnel to manage and process requests for individualized guidance, including:
a. Allowing for electronic submission of, and response to, requests;
b. Creating methods for identifying and tracking requests;
c. Maintaining past responses to requests in a manner that allows agency personnel to identify and use them when developing responses to new requests that present similar or related issues; and
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d. Ensuring that relevant personnel receive training in the agencies' individualized guidance procedures.
7. Agencies should solicit public participation before or after issuing individualized guidance in cases in which members of the public other than the requestor are likely to have information relevant to the request or are likely to be affected by the agency's action.

## Public Availability of Individualized Guidance

8. Agencies that provide individualized guidance should maintain a page on their websites that provides easy access to the procedures described in Paragraph 5, all individualized guidance documents that they make publicly available, and information about electronically submitting a request for individual guidance.
9. Agencies should make publicly available on the webpage described in Paragraph 8 any individualized guidance document that affects, or may be of interest to, persons other than the requestor, including regulated parties and regulatory beneficiaries.
10. When making individualized guidance documents available on their websites, agencies should, as appropriate:
a. Identify the date, requestor, and subject matter of the guidance;
b. Identify the legal authority under which the guidance was issued and under what circumstances other parties may rely on the guidance; and
c. Use other techniques to help the public find relevant information, such as indexing or tagging guidance documents by general topic area.
11. When making individualized guidance documents publicly available, agencies should redact any information that is sensitive or otherwise protected from disclosure and redact identifying details to the extent required to prevent an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
12. Agencies should keep individualized guidance on their websites current. If an agency modifies or rescinds a publicly available individualized guidance document, it should
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indicate on the face of the document that it has been modified or rescinded and provide access to any explanation for the modification or rescission or successor guidance.

## Relationship to Other Agency Processes

13. Agencies should periodically review individualized guidance documents to identify matters that may warrant the development of a general rule.
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