

Identifying and Reducing Burdens on the Public in Administrative

Processes

Committee on Administration and Management

Proposed Recommendation for Plenary | December 14, 2023

1 Each year, millions of people navigate administrative processes to access benefits and 2 services and otherwise engage with government programs to help themselves and their families. These processes can be extraordinarily complex. Additionally, processes can vary significantly 3 across and within government agencies. These variations can make it especially hard when 4 individuals need to access multiple programs at the same time, for example during key life 5 6 events such as retirement, birth of a child, or unexpected disaster. Navigating these processes requires time and effort, both to learn about programs and 7 how to access them. Complying with these processes also requires significant work, such as 8

9 completing forms, obtaining and submitting information, and possibly traveling to in-person

10 interviews or hearings. Efforts to comply can result in stress, stigma, frustration, fear, or other

11 psychological harms. These costs-which may be described as learning, compliance, and

12 psychological costs, respectively—can be collectively understood as administrative burden.¹

Administrative burdens significantly impact_affect whether and how the public accesses a
 wide range of government programs, including those related to veterans benefits and services,

Commented [CoA&M1]: Proposed Amendment from the Committee on Administration & Management:

The Committee voted to replace the original title of this Recommendation (*Identifying and Reducing Burdens in Administrative Processes*).

¹ Pamela Herd, Donald Moynihan & Amy Widman, Identifying and Reducing Burdens in Administrative Processes 4 (Oct. 4, 2023) (draft report to the Admin. Conf. of the U.S.). This Recommendation uses both "administrative burden" and "administrative burdens." The singular is intended to capture the idea of burden as a theoretical concept; the plural reflects the fact that, in practice, burdens are multiple rather than singular. *See* PAMELA HERD & DONALD MOYNIHAN, ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN: POLICYMAKING BY OTHER MEANS 1, 269 (2018). *See also Burden Reduction Initiative*, OFF. OF INFO. & REGUL. AFFS., OFF. OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFF. OF THE PRESIDENT, https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/information-regulatory-affairs/burden-reduction-initiative (last visited Nov. 9, 2023).



15 student financial aid, Social Security benefits, health care, disaster assistance, tax credits, 16 nutrition assistance, housing assistance, and unemployment insurance. These burdens can be 17 exacerbated when programs are not wholly administered by the federal government but in 18 partnership with state, local, or tribal governments. Although some level of administrative 19 burden may be necessary—to establish eligibility for programs with sufficient accuracy or to 20 prevent fraud-research shows the cumulative effect of this burden hinders the ability of 21 agencies to achieve their missions. Billions of dollars in government benefits go unclaimed every 22 year,² and administrative burdens are a key reason for this gap.³ Administrative burdens do not 23 fall equally on all members of the public but fall disproportionately on certain members of 24 historically underserved communities (including people with disabilities),⁴ the elderly, those for 25 whom English is not their primary language, people with poor physical or mental health, and 26 persons of limited literacy.⁵ Reducing administrative burden, while also taking into account other 27 important public values such as program integrity, can make government work better for 28 everyone.

- Various authorities govern how federal agencies identify and reduce administrative
 burdens. The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) has long required agencies to identify burdens
 associated with information they collect from the public and explain why those burdens are
- 32 necessary to administer their programs.⁶ Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular

⁶ 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501–3521.

² Off. of Info. & Regul. Affs., Off. of Mgmt. & Budget, Exec. Off. of the President, Tackling the Time Tax: How the Federal Government is Reducing Burdens to Accessing Critical Benefits and Services 9 (2023).

³ Herd et al, *supra* note 1, at 16–18.

⁴ Exec. Order No. 13,985, 86 Fed. Reg. 7,009 (Jan. 20, 2021).

⁵ TACKLING THE TIME TAX, *supra* note 2, at 10; *see also* Herd et. al, *supra* note 1, at 10–12; *supra* note 1, at 105, 134–135, 157–162, and 264. Herd et al, *supra* note 1, at 10–12.



ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

- No. A-11 emphasizes the importance of customer life experiences⁷ and human-centered design⁸
 in how agencies manage organizational performance to improve service delivery.
- 35 While some administrative burdens are imposed by Congress or by state law, federal
- 36 agencies have an important role to play in reducing the burdens they impose when administering
- 37 their programs. Agencies employ numerous strategies to reduce those burdens, including
- 38 simplifying processes, improving language access for persons with limited English proficiency,
- 39 expanding the availability of online (instead of solely in-person) processes, and establishing
- 40 ombuds offices to assist those experiencing burdens.⁹ In addition, agencies have achieved
- 41 success in reducing burdens by establishing devoted customer experience (CX) teams that have
- 42 sufficient policy knowledge and authority within the agency to be effective.¹⁰
- 43 Collaboration within and between federal agencies, and between federal agencies and
- 44 state, local, and tribal governments, is also essential for burden reduction. Interagency Data-data
- 45 sharing between agencies that is consistent with the Fair Information Practice Principles and all

⁹ See Herd et al, supra note 1, at 28. See also TACKLING THE TIME TAX, supra note 2, at 48–49; White House Legal Aid Interagency Roundtable, Access to Justice through Simplification (2022); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2016-5, The Use of Ombuds in Federal Agencies, 81 Fed. Reg. 94,316 (Dec. 23, 2016).

3

Commented [CA2]: Proposed Amendment from Council #1

⁷ Customer life experiences are experiences that require members of the public to navigate government services across multiple programs, agencies, or levels of government. OFF. OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFF. OF THE PRESIDENT, OMB CIRCULAR A-11, PREPARATION, SUBMISSION, AND EXECUTION OF THE BUDGET (2023). As explained in Part 6 § 280.16, OMB will manage the selection of a limited number of customer life experiences to prioritize for government-wide action in line with the President's Management Agenda. *See also* Exec. Order No. 14,058, 86 Fed. Reg. 71,357 (Dec. 16, 2021).

⁸ OMB CIRCULAR A-11, *supra* note 7, § 280.1. Human-centered design is a technique to understand administrative process from the user's perspective and then use those insights to adjust processes to better match human capacities. Herd et al, *supra* note 1, at 22. Journey mapping is a related concept that involves documenting each step that an individual takes when engaging with an administrative process in order to better understand the process and where individuals struggle with it. *Id.*

¹⁰ Herd et al, *supra* note 1, at 26. Under Executive Order 14,058, the term "customer" refers to any individual, business, or organization that interacts with an agency or program, and the term "customer experience" refers to the public's perceptions of and overall satisfaction with interactions with an agency, product, or service. *See* 86 Fed. Reg. at 71,358. This Recommendation uses the term "customer" following its use in that Executive Order, notwithstanding the debate regarding the appropriateness of referring to members of the public as "customers." *See*, *e.g., Does DHS Really Have Customers?*, U.S. DEP'T OF HOMELAND SEC., https://www.dhs.gov/news/2022/06/23/ does-dhs-really-have-customers (last visited Nov. 9, 2023).



46 relevant law and policy,¹¹ especially when used in conjunction with simplifying onerous

47 processes or eliminating unnecessary ones, can also reduce administrative burdens.¹² In addition

48 to collaboration across the government, federal agency partnerships with non-governmental third

49 parties (such as legal aid organizations and others) also play a crucial role in agency efforts to

50 reduce burden. Third parties assist agencies by providing information about how processes can

- be improved to better serve the public better and by directly assisting individuals who interact
 with government programs.¹³
- 53 This Recommendation provides best practices for agencies to use in identifying and reducing unnecessary administrative burdens. Building on previous recommendations of the 54 55 Conference,¹⁴ this Recommendation provides specific consultative techniques agencies should use to gather information from individual members of the public to better understandgain a fuller 56 57 and more accurate understanding of administrative burdens. The Recommendation encourages 58 the use of online processes and offers other techniques to simplify and streamline processes and 59 to make information about processes more accessible. The Recommendation also identifies 60 broad organizational and collaborative tools agencies should employ in burden reduction efforts,

¹¹ Fair Information Practice Principles (FIPPs), FED. PRIV. COUNCIL, OFF. OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFF. OF THE PRESIDENT, https://www.fpc.gov/resources/fipps (last visited Nov. 7, 2023).

¹² See Herd et, al, supra note 1, at 19, 30–32. See also TACKLING THE TIME TAX, supra note 2, at 36, 41 ; Fair Information Practice Principles (FIPPs), FED. PRIV. COUNCIL, OFF. OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFF. OF THE PRESIDENT, https://www.fpc.gov/resources/fipps (last visited Nov. 7, 2023).

¹³ See Herd et al, supra note 1, at 48. See also Admin. Conf. of the U.S. & Legal Servs. Corp., Forum, Assisting Parties in Federal Administrative Adjudication (2023); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2021-9, *Regulation of Representatives in Agency Adjudicative Proceedings*, 87 Fed. Reg. 1721 (Jan. 12, 2022).

¹⁴ Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2023-4, Online Processes in Agency Adjudication, 88 Fed. Reg. 42,681 (Jul. 3, 2023); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2023-2, Virtual Public Engagement in Agency Rulemaking, 88 Fed. Reg. 42,680 (Jul. 3, 2023); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2021-3, Early Input on Regulatory Alternatives, 86 Fed. Reg. 36,082 (Jul. 8, 2021); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2019-3, Public Availability of Agency Guidance Documents, 84 Fed. Reg. 38,931 (Aug. 8, 2019); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2018-7, Public Engagement in Rulemaking, 86 Fed. Reg. 2146 (Feb. 6, 2019); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2017-3, Plain Language in Regulatory Drafting, 82 Fed. Reg. 61,728 (Dec. 29, 2017); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2016-6, Self-Represented Parties in Administrative Hearings, 81 Fed. Reg. 94,319 (Dec. 23, 2016).

4

DRAFT December 11, 2023

Commented [CMA3]: Proposed Amendment from Government Member Stephanie Tatham #1 (see parallel amendment at line 183).



- 61 including outlining how agency leadership and staff¹⁵ should engage with burden reduction
- 62 initiatives within their agencies and across the government. The primary focus of burden
- 63 reduction efforts should be with those federal agencies that have the greatest frequent or
- 64 consequential interactions with the public. The tools discussed are intended to reduce burdens on _____ Commented [CA4]: Proposed Amendment from Council #2
- 65 the public and not become a reporting burden on agencies for which they are less relevant.

This Recommendation also includes a recommendation directed to OMB building on 66 OMB's prior actions directed at reducing burdensthat builds on the substantial guidance and 67 68 efforts OMB has already provided on burden reduction. It recommends that OMB provide 69 agencies with additional guidance for measurement and consideration of administrative burden 70 and foregone benefits and services, as well as provide additional guidance on agencies' 71 examination of the potential advantages and disadvantages of administrative data sharing. This 72 guidance could take many forms, including written guidance or agency-specific or government-73 wide training. In addition, again building on past recommendations of the Conference and related implementation efforts,¹⁶ this Recommendation outlines how agencies and encourages OMB to 74 75 provide agencies with additional guidance on the use of should leverage the PRA in support of 76 burden reduction efforts, including by expanding flexibilities under the PRA for agencies to 77 conduct customer experience research. It also includes a recommendation to Congress that, when 78 developing new legislation that establishes or affects administrative programs, it should provide 79 express statutory authority for agencies to share data where beneficial for achieving the goals of

80 the legislation.

¹⁵ For the purposes of this Recommendation, agency leadership and staff include a wide range of stakeholders such as general counsels, chief information officers, chief risk officers, and chief data officers, as well as ombuds and officials responsible for compliance with laws such as the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) and the PRA.

¹⁶ See also Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2018-1, Paperwork Reduction Act Efficiencies, 83 Fed. Reg. 30,683 (Jun. 29, 2018); Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2012-4, Paperwork Reduction Act, 77 Fed. Reg. 47,808 (Aug. 10, 2012).



RECOMMENDATION

Burden Identification and Reduction Principles

81	1.	Federal agencies should seek to identify and reduce administrative burdens that the public
82		faces when interacting with government programs.

- 83 2. Agencies' efforts to identify and reduce burdens should take into account the experiences 84 and perspectives of individuals who interact with government programs.
- 85 3. Because individuals often interact with multiple government agencies and programs during key life experiences, such as retirement, birth of a child, or unexpected disaster, 86
- 87 agency and program officials should collaborate to identify and reduce burdens that 88 would predictably arise during those experiences.
- 89 4. When undertaking efforts to identify and reduce burdens, agencies should consider the 90 impacts effects on other important public values, including program integrity.

Burden Identification Strategies

100

101

91	5.	Agencies should institutionalize adopt procedures for consulting with individuals who
92		interact with government programs to better understand-inform agency officials about the
93		nature of the burdens in those programstheir processes impose. In seeking to do so,
94		agencies should try to identify and consult with those who may face disproportionate
95		burdens in accessing agency programs. Agencies should employ multiple consultative
96		techniques, including:
97		a. Client outreach, such as surveys and focus groups;
98		b. Requests for public comment;
99		c. Complaint portals available on agency websites;

- c. Complaint portals available on agency websites;
- d. Consultation with agency staff who work with the public, including agency ombuds or public advocate staff; and
- 102 e. Consultation with nongovernmental organizations, advocacy groups, and other 103 members of the private sector (such as representatives, program navigators who 104 help individuals engage with governmental processes, and social workers) who

6



	MCMLXIV MCMLXIV
105	assist individuals , such as representatives, program navigators, and social
106	workers.
107	6. To help identify burdens, agencies should use the information obtained through such
108	consultation to identify the procedures individuals face, and resulting burdens, at each
109	step in the process.
110	7. To determine agencies' authority to reduce burdens, agencies should trace the legal or
111	operational source of identified burdens in order to determine whether they are imposed
112	by statute or by regulation, guidance, or agency practice, at the federal or state level.
113	8. Agencies should measure administrative burdens associated with their programs by
114	estimating and quantifying, to the extent feasible, any learning, compliance, or
115	psychological costs of interacting with their programs. These costs include the time it
116	takes to learn about programs and how to access them, the work it takes to comply with
117	program requirements, and the stress or stigma involved with engaging with
118	administrative programs, as well as forgone benefits or services.
	Burden Reduction Strategies
119	9. Agencies should periodically review their administrative processes to identify
120	opportunities to simplify them by, as appropriate:
121	a. Limiting the number of steps in processes;
122	b. Reducing the length of required forms;
123	c. Limiting documentation requirements, where possible; and
124	d. Expanding language access to persons with limited English proficiency and
125	persons with disabilities.
126	10. Agencies should allow the public to interact with government programs using online
127	processes while still retaining in-person processes when necessary to ensure access to
128	benefits and services. In particular, agencies should, when possible:
	· - ·
129	a. Create alternatives (such as digital or telephonic signatures) for requirements for
129 130	a. Create alternatives <u>(such as digital or telephonic signatures)</u> for requirements for "wet" signatures, and ensure such alternatives are accepted by all relevant agency

7

Sugar the Course
MCMLAIV

	A CONTRACT OF	
132	b. Allow individuals to use universal logins used by government agencies; and	
133	c. Allow individuals to interact with agencies by telephone or video conference	
134	rather than requiring in-person appointments; and	
135	e.d. Eliminate notary requirements and substitute 28 U.S.C. § 1746.	Commented [CMA8]: Proposed Amendment from Senior Fellow Alan Morrison.
136	11. When permitted by law, agencies should reduce steps individuals must take to receive	renow Alan Morrison.
137	benefits or services by using information in the government's possession to determine	
138	program eligibility or to pre-populate enrollment forms or by automatically selecting the	
139	most beneficial program options for individuals unless they decide to opt out.	
140	12. Agencies should make information about their programs as easy as possible to find and	
141	understand, proactively provide information to members of the public about their	
142	eligibility for benefits and services, and allow individuals to expeditiously access records	
143	pertaining to themselves when required for obtaining benefits and services.	
144	13. Agencies should provide information in plain language and, when appropriate and	
145	feasible, in multiple languages to ensure individuals can understand and use the	
146	information.	
147	14. Agencies should increase the availability of assistance for individuals interacting with	
148	their programs, beyond continuing to enable individuals to rely on assistance from other	
149	persons such as family or friends, by:	
150	a. Working with legal aid organizations and others who provide pro bono or "low"	
151	bono (below market rate but not free) services to increase availability of	
152	representation;	
153	b. Establishing rules governing authorizing accredited or qualified non-	
154	attorneylawyer representatives who mayto practice before the agency; and	Commented [CA9]: Proposed Amendment from Council #6
155	c. Expanding the use of agency staff, including front-line staff, ombuds, and public	
156	advocates, as well as government-sponsored and -supported entities, such as	
157	navigator programs designed to help individuals navigate government processes.	Commented [CA10]: Proposed Amendment from Council
158	15. Agencies should identify unnecessary administrative burdens that are required by statutes	#7
159	in their Supporting Statements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) and in their	

8



160 annual proposed legislative program submissions to the Office of Management and 161 Budget (OMB) under OMB Circular No. A-19.

Agency Organization

162	16. Agency Political appointees, senior executives, and other agency leaders should prioritize		
163	burden identification strategies and reduction efforts, using their leadership positions to		
164	articulate burden reduction goals for agency staff and outline commitments for achieving		
165	them, particularly when such commitments require collaboration between agency		
166	departmentsunits. Agencies should connect their burden reduction goals to their strategic		
167	planning and reporting goals under the Government Performance and Results Act.		
168	17. Agencies should identify whether they have particular programs or functions that involve		
169	interaction with the public. Agencies with such programs should assemble a team		
170	devoted to improving the experiences that these individuals have when interacting with		
171	the agency, often referred to as customer experience (CX) teams. CX teams should have		
172	thorough knowledge of relevant agency programs. Senior career staff should partner with		
173	one or more political appointees to provide CX teams with sufficient authority within the		
174	agency to accomplish their goals.		
175	18. Agencies should include their general counsels and other relevant staff with statutory		
176	responsibilities related to burden reduction (for example, privacy officers and PRA		
177	officers) in such reduction efforts as early as possible in order to facilitate agency efforts		
178	to maximize burden reduction.		
	Agency Collaboration		

179 19. Federal agencies should expand efforts to collaborate with other entities to maximize 180 burden reduction. In particular, program and legal staff should collaborate with their chief 181 data officer and senior agency official for privacy on ways to share data across federal 182 agencies and between federal and state agencies, consistent with the Fair Information 183 Practice Principles and all relevant law and policy, in order to: 184 a. Increase outreach to individuals who may be eligible for administrative programs;

Commented [CA11]: Proposed Amendment from Council #8

Commented [CMA12]: Proposed amendment from Government Member Stephanie Tatham #2 (see parallel amendment at lines (187-188).

Commented [CMA13]: Proposed Amendment from Government Member Stephanie Tatham #1 (see parallel amendment at lines 45-6).

9



185	b. Reduce requirements for forms and documentation; and	
186	c. Under certain conditions, provide for automatic enrollment and renewal.	
187	20. Agencies should work with their chief data officers and senior agency officials for	
188	privacy in cross-agency working groups to share information about best practices for	Commented [CMA14]: Proposed Amendment from Government Member Stephanie Tatham #2 (see parallel
189	reducing burden and using data-sharing agreements.	amendment at line 181).
	Roles for OMB and Congress	
190	21. OMB should provide agencies with additional guidance, potentially including positive	Commented [CA15]: Proposed Amendment from Council #9
191	models and training, to inform agency:	#7
192	a. Measurement and consideration of administrative burden and foregone benefits	
193	and services, such as in regulatory impact analyses;	
194	b. Examination of the potential legal or policy advantages and disadvantages of	
195	administrative data sharing, in particular providing additional positive examples	
196	of data sharing; and	
197	c. Use of flexibilities under the PRA in order to inform and make it easier for	
198	agencies to conduct CX research and to improve agency service delivery in order	
199	to make it easier for agencies to conduct CX research.	
200	22. When developing new legislation that establishes or affects administrative programs,	
201	Congress should provide express statutory authority for agencies to share data where	
202	beneficial for achieving the goals of the legislation doing so would further the goals of the	
203	legislation and not cause disproportionate effects that would negatively affect other	
204	legislative purposes or endanger critical privacy interests	Commented [CA16]: Proposed Amendment from Council #10
I		