

Contractors in Rulemaking

Committee on Rulemaking

Proposed Recommendation for Committee | April 25, 2022

1 Agencies rely on private contractors to perform many kinds of services in support of their 2 rulemaking activities. These services can occur at any stage during the lifecycle of the 3 rulemaking process. Among the functions that agencies assign to contractors include conducting 4 research undergirding a rule, preparing regulatory impact analyses, facilitating meetings with 5 interested persons, and tabulating, categorizing, or summarizing public comments the agency 6 receives. As with other agency functions, contracting out specific rulemaking functions may help 7 increase staffing flexibility to ease workloads, lower administrative costs, provide topic-specific 8 expertise or access to technology that the agency does not possess internally, and provide 9 alternative perspectives on particular issues.

10 Agencies' use of contractors, however, may also raise distinctive concerns in the rulemaking context.¹ Agencies must ensure that they comply with relevant legal obligations, 11 12 including the prohibition on outsourcing "inherently governmental functions" (IGFs).² They should also exercise their discretion in a way that avoids ethics violations, promotes efficiency, 13 14 and ensures that agency officials exercise proper oversight of contractors. With respect to the 15 prohibition on contracting out IGFs, the Office of Management and Budget's Circular A-76, 16 Performance of Commercial Activities, and the Office of Federal Procurement Policy's Policy 17 Letter 11-01, Performance of Inherently Governmental and Critical Functions, provide examples

18 of certain IGFs that should not be contracted out.³ Circular A-76 also describes activities that are

¹ Cf. Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 85-2, Agency Procedures for Performing Regulatory Analysis of Rules, 50 Fed. Reg. 28364 (July 12, 1985).

² 48 C.F.R. § 7.503; *see also* OFF. OF MGMT. & BUDGET, EXEC. OFF. OF THE PRESIDENT, OMB CIRCULAR A-76 (REVISED), PERFORMANCE OF COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES (2003). Other relevant legal considerations may be presented under other sources of law.

³ OMB CIRCULAR A-76, *supra* note 24; Publication of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) Policy Letter 11-01, Performance of Inherently Governmental and Critical Functions, 76 Fed. Reg. 56227 (Oct. 12, 2011).



"closely associated" with IGFs and for which agencies should exercise heightened caution when
 assigning such functions to contractors.⁴

21 Although neither of these documents Circular A-76 nor Policy Letter 11-01 describes 22 contracting functions related to rulemaking activities in any detail, they generally provide that 23 contractor functions should be limited to those that provide support for the agency's 24 policymaking activities and do not supplant the agency's decision-making role. The risk of 25 contracting out an IGF, or even an activity closely associated with an IGF, is heightened when a 26 contractor is drafting the regulatory text or preamble language-or, performing analyses, or 27 presenting strategy options to be used by agency personnel in the rulemaking context. As a 28 practical matter, such concerns may <u>also</u> be greater when agencies enter into contracts that span 29 multiple years and cover multiple rulemaking functions.

30 Agencies must consider potential ethical issues when contracting out rulemaking 31 functions. Although contractors are, with a few exceptions, generally not subject to the ethics 32 laws governing federal employees, there are nevertheless potential ethics-related risks against 33 which agencies must protect and which may not be addressed adequately under existing 34 procurement regulations.⁵. The risks of conflicts of interest (both organizational and personal) 35 and misuse of confidential information may be especially salient when contractors support a policymaking function such as rulemaking.⁶ The establishment and dissemination of policies and 36 37 procedures within the agency about the use and, management of contractors in rulemaking, and 38 any disclosure requirements for the disclosure of contractors in rulemakingsuch use, could be 39 one way for agencies to mitigate these concerns.

L.g., 48 C.F.K. subparts 3.11 (Preventing Personal Conflicts of Interest for Contractor Employees Performing Acquisition Functions), 9.5 (Organizational and Consultant Conflicts of Interest).

⁴ OFPP Policy Letter 11-01 defines "closely associated with IGF" in the context of policy and regulatory development as "support for policy development, such as drafting policy documents and regulations, performing analyses[and] feasibility studies, and [developing] strategy options." 76 Fed. Reg. at 56234. ⁵ *E.g.*, 48 C.F.R. subparts 3.11 (*Preventing Personal Conflicts of Interest for Contractor Employees Performing*

⁶ See Admin. Conf. of the U.S., Recommendation 2011-3, Compliance Standards for Government Contractor Employees – Personal Conflicts of Interest and Use of Certain Non-Public Information, 76 Fed. Reg. 48792 (Aug. 9, 2011).



Agencies will need to consider the practical benefits and challenges of using a contractor to perform a function in furtherance of agency rulemaking. Agencies may also wish to consider alternative methods to contracting when they need to expand internal capacity in connection with rulemaking, such as by using executive branch rotations, fellowship programs, or Federally Funded Research and Development Centers, or by making arrangements under the Intergovernmental Personnel Act.

46 This Recommendation provides guidance to agencies <u>for</u> when they are considering
47 contracting out certain rulemaking-related functions. Recognizing that agencies' needs vary
48 enormously, it addresses a range of legal, ethical, prudential, and practical considerations that
49 agencies should <u>consider take into account</u> when using contractors.

RECOMMENDATION

Internal Management

50	1. Agencies should adopt and publish written policies relating to the use of contractors
51	to perform rulemaking-related functions and covering matters, such as:
52	a. The types of rulemaking functions that the agency considers to be inherently
53	governmental functions (IGFs) or closely associated with IGFs;
54	b. Internal procedures to ensure that agency personnel do not contract out IGFs and
55	to ensure increased scrutiny when contracting out functions that are closely
56	associated with IGFs;
57	c. Requirements for internal disclosure concerning functions contractors undertake
58	with regard to specific rulemakings;
59	d. Standards for when contractors should identify themselves as such in
60	communications with the public in connection with a rulemaking; and
61	e. Ethical rules applicable to government contractors.
62	2. To enhance their management of contractors, agencies should consider providing
63	rulemaking-specific training for managers on agency policies and ethical restrictions
64	applicable to contractors. Agencies should also consider designating an agency office



- or officer <u>who can to</u> answer questions about the use of contractors to perform
 rulemaking-related functions and is responsible for deciding whether an activity is an
 IGF.
- When agencies rely on contractors in a rulemaking, they should ensure that agency
 employees can identify contractors and are aware of contractors' assigned functions.
 Agencies may address whether contractors should work in the same space as agency
 employees, how and to what extent they may participate in meetings with agency
 leadership or other meetings at which substantive policy is decided, and whether they
 should be provided with agency email addresses.
- 744. Agencies should consider ways to share information about contractors in rulemaking75within and across agencies. This might include using existing contracting databases or76schedules to encourage promote greater coordination and efficiency concerning77existing rulemaking contracts, as well as informal sharing of practices for managing78contractors.

Ethics

- 79 5. When selecting and managing contractors for rulemaking-related functions, agencies 80 should evaluate whether any organization under consideration to serve as a contractor 81 may have an actual or perceived organizational conflict of interest in connection with 82 any assigned function. When a potential organizational conflict exists or arises, 83 agencies should either select another contractor or put in place appropriate protections 84 to ensure that the contractor's outside interests do not undermine its ability to perform 85 its assigned functions in a way that does not create an actual or perceived conflict of 86 interest.
- 6. When contracting out rulemaking-related functions for which there is a risk of a
 personal conflict of interest by a covered employee, agencies should include
 provisionsprovide in the contract that the contractor will not assign functions under
 the contract to any employee who has an actual or perceived conflict of interest and,
 as appropriate, provide employee training on recognizing and disclosing personal



92		conflicts. The clause should also provide that, in the event that an employee
93		improperly performs a function despite the existence of a personal conflict of interest,
94		the contractor will disclose the conflict to the agency and undertake appropriate
95		remedial action.
96	7.	When contracting out rulemaking-related functions for which there is a risk of misuse
97		of confidential information, agencies should include provisions provide in the contract
98		that the contractor will ensure that any employee handling such information has been
99		appropriately trained on the necessary safeguards. The clause should also provide that
100		the contractor will disclose any breach of this obligation to the agency and undertake
101		appropriate remedial actions.

Transparency

- 1028. When an agency uses a contractor to perform an activity closely associated with an103IGF in a specific rulemaking, the agency should consider disclosing the contractor's104role in the rulemaking docket, a notice of proposed rulemaking, or preamble to the105final rule, including, if legally permissible, identifying the contractor.
- 1069. Agencies should ensure their agreements with contractors will allow them to meet107legal requirements for disclosure of information in connection with the rulemaking108process and judicial review.

Intergovernmental Guidance

10910. The Office of Management and Budget should consider assessing whether current110agency practices align with broader procurement best practices and consider111providing guidance on contractor-performed functions associated with rulemaking112processes. Among other things, this guidance might provide specific examples of113rulemaking-related functions that qualify as IGFs and should not be contracted out or114that are closely associated with IGFs such that agencies should exercise heightened115caution when contracting out such functions.