Comment from Public Member Bernard W. Bell on *User Fees* November 28, 2023

Lines 54-55

Issue: The term "support or oppose" seems awkward.

I propose the following modification: "Program designers should also consider whether <u>or not such purpose(s)</u> support or oppose the imposition of such user fees and related waivers, exemptions, or reduced rates furthers or undermines the achievement of such purposes.

Lines 64-68

Issue: These lines seem awkward, have a run-on sentence, and seem to imply that some benefits are actually costs. The lines give examples of costs and benefits, and the first, which, given the sentence structure, would be a cost is "promoting equity," which is surely not a cost. Indeed, all of the examples appear to me "benefits" with no "costs" listed at all.

I propose the following two modifications:

Put a period after "subject to that fee" on line 65, and remove "as well as". The next sentence should read as follows:

<u>Program designer shall also consider</u> whether <u>the user fee</u> might have other public costs or benefits, such as promoting equity, reducing barriers to market entry, incentivizing desirable behavior, or producing some other socially beneficial outcome, <u>or might have other public costs</u>.

Lines 69-70

Issue: The users fees should be proportionate to the benefit users receive, not the generalized benefits the government or other individual might receive – in other words benefits to users not society as a whole. Adding "users" would help make this point, which I assume is what is intended. Moreover, it seems fees should not be disproportionate *in relation* to government costs.

I propose the following modification: "Program designers should ensure user fees are not disproportionate <u>in relation</u> to government costs or the benefits <u>users</u> receive.

Lines 71-105

We should consider whether the subparagraphs in paragraph 5 should be separated by periods instead of semi-colons, with an appropriate change in the sentence introducing the list. The subparts seem rather long to be considered clauses that are a part of one sentence.

Line 91

I would add the following "Congress should determine whether <u>or not</u> the fees collected by the agency should be deposited in the U.S. Treasury."