

Memorandum

То:	Committee on Judicial Review
From:	Stephanie Tatham, Staff Counsel
Date:	March 15<u>28</u>, 2013
Re:	<u>Revised</u> Draft Recommendation – Administrative Record project

The following draft recommendation is based on a draft report prepared by Leland E. Beck, Esq. entitled *Development, Compilation, and Judicial Review of Informal Agency Rulemaking Administrative Records*-as well as discussions of the Committee on Judicial Review at its March 19, 2013 public meeting. This draft recommendation is intended to facilitate the Committee's discussion at its March 19April 03, 2013 public meeting, and not to preempt the Committee's discussion and consideration of any proposed recommendations. In keeping with the Conference's past practice, a draft preamble has also been included. The aim of the preamble is to explain the problem or issue the Recommendation is designed to address, and the Committee should feel free to revise it as appropriate.

The Administrative Record in Informal Rulemaking

Draft Preamble

1 The administrative record is an essential part of plays an essential role in informing the 2 public of potential agency action and in improving the public's ability to understand and 3 participate in agency decisionmaking. As well, it can be essential to judicial review of agency 4 decisionmaking under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), which directs courts to "review 5 the whole record or those parts of it cited by a party" to determine whether <u>challenged</u> agency 6 action is lawful.¹ This statutory language was originally understood as referring to formal 7 proceedings. However, the Supreme Court has long interpreted <u>thethis</u> APA_provision as also

¹ 5 U.S.C. § 706.



encompassing the "administrative record" in informal agency proceedings whether reviewable
by statute or as final agency actions under 5 U.S.C. § 704.² This application to informal
proceedings has given rise to uncertainty and experimentation as agencies and courts have
worked to implement the administrative record concept—<u>at times inconsistently</u>. As a result,
there may well be public confusion about the distinctions between public rulemaking dockets,
administrative records, and certified administrative records for judicial review.

14 The Administrative Conference has therefore commissioned a study of federal agencies' current practices in the development of public rulemaking dockets, administrative records, and 15 certified administrative records for purposes of judicial review.³ This recommendation and the 16 supporting Report address the administrative record conceptthese concepts in the limited 17 context of informal agency rulemaking for legislative rules, adopted pursuant to procedures 18 prescribed in 5 U.S.C. § 553. They⁴ The recommendation and the supporting Report do not 19 20 address the record for agency decisions made in other contexts, such as in adjudication, formal rulemaking, or guidance documents, adjudications, or formal rulemakings. 21

- 22 For the purposes of this recommendation, the administrative record, certified
 23 administrative record, and public rulemaking docket are defined as follows:
- <u>"Administrative Record"</u> means the full record of materials considered by the agency in
 a rulemaking beginning no later than the date on which the agency publishes an advance notice
 of proposed rulemaking, if there is one, or the notice of proposed rulemaking.⁵
 - ² Camp v. Pitts, 411 U.S. 138, 142 (1973); Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe, 401 U.S. 402, 419 (1971).

³ Leland E. Beck, *Development, Compilation, and Judicial Review of Informal Agency Rulemaking Administrative Records* (DRAFT report to the Administrative Conference of the United States, forthcoming 2013). [hereinafter <u>Beck Report].</u>

⁴ 5 U.S.C. § 553(b)-(d).

⁵ The rulemaking process begins, according to a prior recommendation by the Administrative Conference, "no later than the date on which an agency publishes an advance notice of proposed rulemaking or notice of proposed rulemaking, whichever is earlier." Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 93-4,



27	"Certified Administrative Record" means the informal rulemaking record certified to a
28	court as the record on review of the agency's regulatory action. The Certified Administrative
29	Record will also include an affidavit, made by a certifying official, attesting to the contents and
30	accuracy of the record being certified. ⁶ Certified Administrative Records should also include an
31	index itemizing their contents. ⁷
32	The "Public Rulemaking Docket" means the public rulemaking file managed by the
33	agency, regardless of location, such as online at Regulations.gov or an agency website or
34	available for physical review in a docket room. The Public Rulemaking Docket includes all
35	information that the agency has made available for public viewing. The Conference in the
36	ensuing recommendation urges agencies to manage their Public Rulemaking Dockets to achieve
37	maximum disclosure to the public. An agency best practice is to include in the Public
38	Rulemaking Docket information considered even after the termination of public comment
39	periods.
40	The Conference recognizes that agencies engage in informal rulemaking with differing
41	frequencies, resources, and technologies. Many agencies are in a period of transition, as they
42	move from paper to electronic recordkeeping. Attention to the design of information
43	technology resources that is mindful of the principles and best practices set forth below can aid
44	agencies in administrative recordkeeping and facilitate more effective judicial review. Similarly,

45 this guidance can aid agency personnel as they compile Administrative Records and increase

46 public understanding of agency decisionmaking.⁸

Improving the Environment for Agency Rulemaking, 59 Fed. Reg. 4670 (Feb. 1, 1994), correction published, 59 Fed. Reg. 8507 (Feb. 12, 1994).

⁶ Beck Report, supra note 3, at Section IV.A.

⁷ Id.

⁸ The Administrative Conference has generally recommended that agency policies that affect the public should be articulated and made known to the public to the greatest extent feasible. Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 71-3, Articulation of Agency Policies, 38 Fed. Reg. 19,788 (July 23, 1973).



This recommendation builds upon important earlier Administrative Conference 47 workswork in the areas of rulemaking, recordkeeping, and technological developments in 48 managing records. Administrative Conference Recommendation 74-4, Preenforcement Judicial 49 Review of Rules of General Applicability, first identified the administrative materials that should 50 be before a court in evaluating, on preenforcement review, the factual basis for agency rules of 51 general applicability.⁹ The recommendation was directed, in part, at the judicial development 52 of a lexicon of administrative law terms, including "record"," on review of informal agency 53 rulemakings.⁻¹⁰ In Recommendation 93-4, Improving the Environment for Agency Rulemaking, 54 the Administrative Conference advised agencies to establish¹¹ and manage rulemaking files "so 55 maximum disclosure to the public is achieved during the comment period and so that a usable 56 and reliable file is available for purposes of judicial review."¹² A number of Administrative 57 Conference recommendations also have examined the use of technology in acquiring, releasing, 58 and managing agency records.¹³ Most recently, the Conference examined legal considerations 59

⁹ Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 74-4, *Preenforcement Judicial Review of Rules of General Applicability*, 39 Fed. Reg. 23,044 (June 26, 1974).

¹⁰ See Paul Verkuil, Judicial Review of Informal Rulemaking, 60 VA. L. REV. 185 (1974) (based on consultant report prepared for the Administrative Conference).

¹¹ The rulemaking process begins, according to a prior recommendation by the Administrative Conference, "no later than the date on which an agency publishes an advance notice of proposed rulemaking or notice of proposed rulemaking, whichever is earlier." Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 93-4, *Improving the Environment for Agency Rulemaking*, 59 Fed. Reg. 4,670 (Feb. 1, 1994), *correction published*, 59 Fed. Reg. 8,507 (Feb. 12, 1994).

¹² Id. Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 93-4, Improving the Environment for Agency Rulemaking, 59 Fed. Reg. 4670 (Feb. 1, 1994), correction published, 59 Fed. Reg. 8507 (Feb. 12, 1994).

 ¹³ Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 2011-2, *Rulemaking Comments*, 76 Fed. Reg. 48,791 (Aug. 9, 2011); Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 2011-1, *Legal Considerations in e-Rulemaking*,76 Fed. Reg. 48,789 (Aug. 9, 2011); Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 90-5, *Federal Agency Electronic Records Management and Archives*, 55 Fed. Reg. 53,270 (Dec. 28, 1990); Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 88-10, *Federal Agency Use of Computers in Acquiring and Releasing Information*, 54 Fed. Reg. 5,2095209 (Feb. 2, 1989).



associated with the use of digital technologies in the development and implementation of
 informal rulemakings.¹⁴

This<u>The</u> recommendation <u>synthesizes</u> and <u>updates</u> the accompanying Report examine
 the following issues <u>Conference's prior recommendations</u> in considering the administrative
 record in the context of informal rulemaking:

Contents of the Administrative Record. In keeping with past recommendations and 65 bearing in mindthese areas based on a thorough review of present agency disclosure 66 obligations practice. It also defines and clarifies key concepts. The recommendation is 67 grounded in applied empirical research, documented in the Report and exemptions under the 68 69 Freedom of Information Act, supported by a survey questionnaire on agency recordkeeping procedures as well as by the need to protect sensitive information, the collection of existing 70 agency guidance.¹⁵ The Conference has examined the distinctions between agency public 71 72 docketsidentified and records, agency administrative records, and certified administrative records provided to federal courts on review of agency action. Although agencies and courts 73 74 have taken varied approaches to defining the contents of the administrative record and the record on review, the Conference recommends that the administrative record include all 75 materials considered by the agency during the informal rulemaking and that agencies manage 76 77 their administrative records to achieve maximum disclosure to the public. The question of what has been considered has been addressed in prior recommendations and remains a matter 78 of some discussion. 79

An agency's administrative record in informal rulemaking should contain: i) all notices
 pertaining to the rulemaking and any documents referred to therein; ii) comments and other
 documents submitted to the agency; iii) any transcripts of oral presentations made in the
 course of a rulemaking; iv) reports of any advisory committees; v) copies or an index of all

¹⁴ Recommendation 2011-1, *supra*.

¹⁵ Beck Report, supra note 3, at Section III.

MCMLXIV

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

84 factual material, studies, and reports not included in the forgoing and considered by agency
85 personnel in formulating the proposed or final rule; vi) any other material required by statute,
86 executive order, or agency rule to be made public or considered in connection with the
87 rulemaking; and vii) any other materials proffered by the agency as pertinent to the rule.

Administrative-best practices for all agencies in the areas of record compilation-and
 indexing practices of federal agencies. The Conference has considered best practices of
 agencies in compiling and indexing administrative records, and recommends, to the extent
 feasible, contemporaneous record compilation beginning no later than the date on which an
 agency publishes an advance notice of proposed rulemaking or notice of proposed rulemaking,
 whichever is earlier. Agencies should maximize opportunities to index their administrative
 records on an ongoing basis and designate a record custodian for each rulemaking.

Preservation of administrative records. Expanding upon its earlier examination of
 agency e-rulemaking records,¹⁶ the Conference has explored agency, preservation of
 administrative records, including the use of the Federal Document Management System, for
 maintenance of agency files as permanent or temporary records.

99 *Certified administrative records for judicial review*. The Conference has considered the 100 availability of the certified record on review, including issues related to <u>, and</u> certification, 101 inclusion of public records, submission to the court, supplementation, and availability of the 102 administrative record on review of federal agency action.

103 Agency guidance on informal rulemaking records. The Conference has reviewed existing
 104 agency guidance on administrative record development and identified a number of common
 105 and important issues addressed by agencies, many of which are ripe for elucidation given

¹⁶ Bridget C.E. Dooling, Legal Issues in e-Rulemaking 31-32 (2011) (report to the Administrative Conference of the United States).



106	varying agency practices. ¹⁷ The Conference recommends that agencies issue guidance to aid
107	personnel in compiling administrative records in accordance with these recommendations.
108	This recommendation offers advice and best practices for all agencies, but the
109	Conference recognizes that agencies engage in informal rulemaking with differing frequencies,
110	resources, and technologies. Furthermore, the Conference recognizes that many agencies are
111	in a period of transition, as they move from paper to electronic record keeping. Thoughtful
112	attention to the design of information technology resources that is mindful of the principles
113	and best practices set forth below can aid agencies in administrative recordkeeping and
114	facilitate more effective judicial review.

[DRAFT] RECOMMENDATION

115	The Administrative Record
116	1. Agency Administrative Records.
117	(a) <u>in Informal Rulemaking.</u> In the absence of a specific statutory requirement to
118	the contrary, the agency administrative record in informal rulemaking Administrative
119	Record should contain:
120	<u>1. all materials considered by the agency during the informal rulemaking,</u>
121	including:
122	(a) notices pertaining to the rulemaking-and any documents referred to therein;
123	(a)(b) comments and other documents submitted to the agency related to the
124	rulemaking;

¹⁷ The Administrative Conference has generally recommended that agency policies that affect the public should be articulated and made known to the public to the greatest extent feasible. Administrative Conference of the United States, Recommendation 71-3, *Articulation of Agency Policies*, 38 Fed. Reg. 19,788 (July 23, 1973).



MCMEATV STATE	
125	(c) any factual materials not included in the foregoing;
126	(a)(d) transcripts of oral presentations made in the course of a rulemaking;
127	(a)(e) reports or recommendations of any relevant advisory committees;
128	v. copies or an index of all factual material, studies, and reports not
129	included in the forgoing and considered by agency personnel in formulating the
130	proposed or final rule;
131	(a)(f) any other materialmaterials required by statute, executive order, or agency rule
132	to be <u>considered or to be</u> made public or considered in connection with the rulemaking;
133	and
134	(a)(g) any other materials proffered <u>considered</u> by the agency as pertinent to the rule.
135	(b) Public Rulemaking Docket. Agencies should manage their administrative
136	recordsPublic Rulemaking Dockets to achieve maximum public disclosure. Insofar as
137	feasible, but subject to the public.
120	The level limitations on disclosure, the Dublic Dulemetring Desket should include
138	2. <u>Thelegal limitations on disclosure, the Public Rulemaking Docket should include</u>
139	all materials in the Administrative Record-certified.
140	2-3. Certified Administrative Record. The Certified Administrative Record provided to
141	the court on judicial review of informal rulemaking should contain all of the materials in the
142	administrative recordAdministrative Record as set forth in Recommendation One, subpart a1,
143	except: materials for which disclosure is prohibited or that are otherwise protected from
144	disclosure by law <u>and which are not presented under seal</u> ; and materials that the agency has
145	determined are subject to withholding on the basis of legal privilege in the forum for review,
146	and that it sees fit to withhold. The Conference does not assume that However, the reviewing
147	court should not invariably be confined to the foregoing materials in evaluating the factual
148	basis for the rule. The record on review may—consistent with the Administrative Procedure



- Act, 5 U.S.C. § 706, and as appropriate under the rules of the reviewing court—also consist of
 those parts of the Certified Administrative Record cited by a party.
- 151 Compiling and Indexing the Administrative Record

Agencies should customarily compile administrative records contemporaneously
 with an informal rulemaking proceeding rather than after signature by the designated agency
 official or the rule is challenged in federal court, unless limited resources or risk evaluation
 weigh against the practice. Agencies should begin compiling administrative records
 notAdministrative Records no later than the date on which an agency publishes an advance
 notice of proposed rulemaking, if there is one, or a notice of proposed rulemaking, whichever is
 earlier.

Agencies should maximize opportunities to index administrative
 recordsAdministrative Records for informal rulemaking on an ongoing basis, at an appropriate
 level of detail, and consistent with privilege and Freedom of Information Act indexing practices.

162 <u>5.6.</u> Agencies should designate a custodian <u>or custodians</u> for administrative 163 recordkeeping, <u>either</u> on a rulemaking by rulemaking basis, when administrative records in an 164 informal rulemaking are compiled contemporaneously, as well as for preparation of a certified 165 administrative record in the event of judicial review of an agency rule or generally. Agencies 166 should inform agency personnel of the custodian(s) and direct them to deposit materials 167 considered during the rulemaking with the custodian(s). The custodian(<u>s</u>) should document the 168 record compilation process.

169 Preserving Administrative Records

170 6-7. The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) should consider
 171 amending its agency guidance to specifically indicate the legal value of records relating to the
 172 promulgation of legislative rules, particularly certified administrative records Certified
 173 Administrative Records.



Agencies using electronic records management systems to manage rulemaking records, such as the Federal Document Management System or agency specific systems, should work with NARA to ensure the adequacy of such systems for archival purposes and the transferability of permanent records to the National Archives. Agencies should consider whether revision of their records schedules is appropriate in light of developments in electronic records management.

180 CertifiedCertifying Administrative Records and Judicial Review

Agencies should develop procedures for designating appropriate individuals, who may or may not be record custodians, to certify <u>rulemaking recordsCertified</u> <u>Administrative Records</u> to the court in case of judicial review of agency action. The agencyAgency certifications should include an index of content. Agency certifications should briefly <u>and generally</u> describe exclusions <u>of Administrative Record material</u> from the administrative record in its certification to the courtCertified Administrative Record, if any.

187 Agency Guidance on Informal Rulemaking Administrative Records

9.10. Subject to resources, agencies Agencies that engage in informal rulemaking 188 should prepare issue guidance to aid personnel in implementing the above best practices above. 189 their guidance on informal rulemaking 190 Agencies should make administrative recordsrecordkeeping available to the public and to the Department of Justice, if the 191 Department represents them in litigation. The level of detail and contents of such guidance will 192 naturally vary based on factors such as: the size of typical agency rulemaking records; 193 194 institutional experience, or the lack thereof, with record compilation and on-the-recordinformal rulemaking litigation; the need for consistency across agency components in the development 195 and maintenance of rulemaking records; and agency resources. However, agencies should 196 ensure that guidance addresses at least the following: 197

10



198	(a) essential components of the administrative record and the certified
199	administrative record; Public Rulemaking Docket, the Administrative Record, and the
200	Certified Administrative Record;
201	(b) appropriate exclusions from the Administrative Record, including guidance on
202	whether and when to exclude files such as personal notes or draft documents;
203	(b)(c) timing of administrative recordAdministrative Record compilation and indexing
204	practices;
205	(c) identification of types of documents that might be excluded from the public
206	docket or certified administrative record (<i>e.g.,</i> privileged materials, confidential
207	information protected from disclosure by statute or regulation, information not relied
208	upon, etc.);
209	(d) management and segregation of sensitive or protected materials, <i>e.q.</i> ,
210	copyrighted, classified, protected personal, or confidential business information;
211	(e) management and segregation of privileged materials, e.g., attorney work
212	product, or pre-decisional deliberative materials;
213	(d)(f) preservation of administrative recordsAdministrative Records; and
214	(e)(g) certification of the record on review, including the process for identifying the
215	appropriate certifying official.
216	If relevant, agency guidance should also discussinclude:
217	<pre>(f)(h) capabilities and limitations of recordkeeping tools and technologies; and</pre>
218	(g)(i) available policies and procedures for the protection of sensitive information
219	submitted by the public during the process of rulemaking or otherwise contained in the
220	administrative record. Administrative Record.